

Acknowledgements

steering committee

Daniel Bachalis

Hammonton Green Team/Environmental Commission

Robin Chieco

Hammonton School District

Eric Derer

Cross County Connection TMA

Stephen DiDonato

Mayor of Hammonton

James Donio

Downtown Advocate

Kelli Fallon

Hammonton Board of Education

Patrick Farley

Cross County Connection TMA

Kevin Friel

Hammonton Police Chief

Mark Herrmann

Hammonton Engineer

Alan Huff

South Jersey Transportation Planning Organization

George Klevorn

NJTRANSIT

Cassie Lacovelli

Main Street Hammonton

Alicia Murphy

Hammonton BPAC/Kickstand Crew

John Peterson

Atlantic County Planner

Mike Viscardi

NJTRANSIT

Frank Zuber

Hammonton Town Administrator

project team

The Town of Hammonton

Denise Mazzeo, Department of Parks & Recreation

Robert Vettese, Department of Public Work

New Jersey Department of Transportation

Bill Riviere, Bureau of Safety, Bicycle and Pedestrian

Programs

Sam Schwartz Consulting, LLC

Samantha Donovan, AICP Candidate

Andrew Lappitt, AICP, PP

Zeke Mermell, AICP, LEED AP

Steve Wong, AICP, PP, PTP, RSP, PMP

Table of Contents

1.Introduction	5
2. Vision & Goals	7
2.1 Overview	8
2.2 Vision	8
2.3 Goals	8
3. Hammonton Today	9
3.1 How it started	10
3.2 Data Collection & Analysis	12
3.3Crashes	14
3.4 User Experience and Perceived Comfort	15
4. Public Engagement	17
4.1 Meetings	18
4.2 Digital Engagement	19
5. Hammonton Tomorrow	21
5.1 Pedestrian Facility Recommendations	22
5.4 Townwide Recommendations	34
5.5 Policy & Actions	37
6.Implementation	39
7. Amendments	45
Table of Tables	
Table 1: Bicycle Facility Types	24
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Table 3: Implementation Matrix	45
able 1: Bicycle Facility Types	
Figure 1: Priority Corridors Map	11
Figure 8: Bicycle Network Map	26



1. Introduction

The New Jersey Department of Transportation, Bureau of Safety, Bicycle and Pedestrian Programs (NJDOT-BSBPP) provides interested and qualified communities with technical assistance as part of the Local Technical Assistance (LTA) Program. The Town of Hammonton (Hammonton) requested technical assistance as part of the LTA Program to improve the safety and mobility of biking and walking in the community and to develop a Townwide Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan (the Plan). NJDOT-BSBPP assigned consultant Sam Schwartz Consulting, LLC (Sam Schwartz) to provide technical planning, engineering, and outreach assistance in developing the Plan.

Why Develop a Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan?

Hammonton is a vibrant community committed to improving the quality of life for both residents and visitors by providing walking and bicycling as convenient, comfortable, and healthy modes of transportation and recreation. As a town with a vibrant downtown community and as the blueberry capital of the world, Hammonton draws visitors from across New Jersey and beyond.

The Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan is a critical tool for guiding town staff and the development community in building a balanced transportation system that is pedestrian and bicycle friendly and encourages residents to use these modes of transportation. The goal is a shift from driving single occupancy vehicles to more walking and bicycling as a normal part of daily life.

Purpose of the Plan

This Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan establishes a long-term vision for improving walking and bicycling in Hammonton. The Plan provides a strategy to develop a comprehensive bicycling and walking network to provide access to transit, schools, and downtown, alongside supporting facilities like bicycle parking and pedestrian amenities. These network improvements are paired with education, encouragement, enforcement, and evaluation programs. This document identifies a plan to implement these projects and

programs through prioritization and phasing to ensure implementation is manageable and fundable. This Plan represents a long-term, aspirational vision for walking and bicycling in Hammonton, and recognizes that limited funding and resources will require phased implementation of the proposed improvements over many years.

The Plan process provided opportunities for elected and appointed members of the Town's Boards, Commissions, and the public to participate in the development process of the Plan by evaluating, commenting and suggesting ideas for walking and bicycling. Ideally, this Plan should be reviewed every few years to update maps, project lists, and priorities as facilities are completed and to keep pace with the development landscape.





2.1 Overview

The goals of the Plan reflect the priorities expressed by the community throughout the public outreach phase of the Plans development. Discussions with Town departments, best practices across the state, and input from community stakeholders have shaped the proposed strategies and policies intended to help the Town achieve these goals.

All of the following goals, strategies and plicies support the larger townwide "Complete Streets" policy, which instructs staff to consider the needs of all modes of travel when developing any transportation facility. The goals, strategies, and policies are designed to guide the work of Town staff and elected officials, partner agencies, and private developers to improve the livability, economic vitality, and non-motorized accessibility for residents and visitors throughout Hammonton. Reducing the amount of driving and automobile ownership is an overarching vision embodied in the Plan.

2.2 Vision

Hammonton is a community where walking and bicycling is the optimal and safe choice for active transportation.

2.3 Goals

1: Increase Access and Favorability.

Design bicycle and pedestrian facilities that are accessible and comfortable for all people of all ages and abilities to use.

Performance Measures:

- Increase the share of people walking and bicycling to work to 5% by 2025 and 10% by 2040.
- Increase the share of students walking or bicycling to school to 10% by 2025 and 20% by 2040.
- Reduce the number of severe and fatal collisions.
- Complete Short Term projects recommended in this Plan by 2030 and High Priority projects by 2040.

2: Maintain and Expand the Network

Identify, develop and maintain a complete and convenient bicycle and pedestrian network.

Performance Measures:

- Complete Studies recommended in this Plan by 2040.
- Double the number of short-term and secure longterm bicycle parking locations by 2040
- Maintain adequate pavement quality, striping, and sign visibility and signal/beacon functionality on all bicycle and pedestrian facilities.
- Start tracking and begin publishing annual bicycle and pedestrian counts by 2025.

3: Support a Culture of Walking and Bicycling

Increase awareness and support of bicycling and walking through programs and townwide initiatives.

Performance Measures:

- Increase the share of people walking and bicycling to work to 5% by 2025 and 10% by 2040.
- Increase the share of students walking or bicycling to school to 10% by 2025 and 20% by 2040.



3.1 How it started...

The Town of Hammonton, located in Atlantic County, New Jersey is a town of approximately 15,000. It is located between Philadelphia and Atlantic City, and is along the former route of the Pennsylvania Railroad which is currently used by NJTRANSIT's Atlantic City Line. Hammonton has a thriving downtown area surrounded by Blueberry fields. The town is also famously known as the Blueberry Capital of the World. Based on the existing street network, the locations of schools, transit stops and stations, and the downtown area along with feedback from the Steering Committee and the public, ten (10) priority corridors were identified for this plan. These corridors are:

- Central Avenue (CR 542)
- Bellevue Avenue/12th Street (SR 54)
- Egg Harbor Road (CR 602)/Moss Mill Road
- Fairview Avenue/13th Street
- · Chew Road
- 1st Road
- · 2nd Road
- Main Road
- Park Avenue
- Broadway

In addition to the roadways listed above, Old Forks Road, Walnut Street, Road to Excellence, 4th Street, and Seagrove Avenue were considered for bicycle facility recommendations but were not included in other analyses and are not considered Priority Corridors.


It is important to note that County Roads are under

the jurisdiction for maintenance and improvements by Atlantic County, while municipal roads are the responsibility of Hammonton. However, for the implementation of bicycle facilities that require pavement markings an agreement would be made between Atlantic County and Hammonton in which the municipality would be responsible for the installation and maintenance of those markings.

To identify potential deficiencies that need to be addressed during the development of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan, the project team performed an assessment of the existing conditions of Hammonton's key corridors and intersections. The data collected includes sidewalk conditions and widths, bicycle compatibility, intersection geometry and signal timings, road widths, and speed limits. For the inventory an initial desktop review was performed, followed by a field visit on Monday, May 18, 2020, of all priority corridors.

Roadway elements were inventoried along these corridors which included roadway width, lane and shoulder width, sidewalk width and condition, median and buffer presence and width, speed limit and presence of bicycle facilities. This data was utilized during the existing conditions analysis to provide a bicycle level of traffic stress analysis, identify safety concerns, and determine appropriate improvement treatments. The inventoried roadway data can be found in Appendix X.





3.2 Data Collection & Analysis

Bicycling and Walking Today...

Locations of existing and proposed pedestrian and bicycle facilities (including bicycle routes), shared use paths, and other active transportation networks in Atlantic County and adjacent communities were identified using the Atlantic County Master Plan. In Hammonton, there are not any existing facilities that connect to a larger regional network. The Atlantic County Master Plan identifies two NJDOT proposed facilities, one on US 30 and the other on State Route 54. There are no proposed facilities by the County on County Roadways.

The proposed facility on State Route 54 has completed the Concept Development phase and is anticipated for construction in the next couple of years. The Limited Scope Concept Development Project through NJDOT for State Route 54 spans from US 40 to US 30. It recommends improvements to the intersections along the roadway, sidewalk improvements and the striping of the 6' bicycle compatible shoulder.



Existing and Future Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities


Locations of existing bicycle and pedestrian facilities were inventoried throughout Hammonton, in addition, information was provided by the Town on planned multimodal infrastructure projects. Currently, Hammonton has an inconsistent sidewalk network with several neighborhoods offering incomplete or missing sidewalk networks. The following summarizes the existing and proposed multimodal facilities.

Existing:

- South Egg Harbor Road and Moss Mill Road, Shared use path from Moss Mill Road to Hammonton Lake Park baseball field along S. Egg Harbor Road and then along Moss Mill Road to Lakeview Drive. The path is on the westbound side and is protected by concrete parking blocks typically used in parking lots.
- Hammonton Lake Park/Smith Conservation Area Trails, there are three bicycle and pedestrian paths, all of which have entrances on Egg Harbor Road.

Proposed:

extend an existing bike path. This path will run along 11th Street starting at the entrance to the train station, it will then continue onto the old Reading Railroad right-of-way until it reaches Veterans Way, it then turns left and ends at Egg Harbor Road.




3.3 Crashes

The bicycle and pedestrian crash review was prepared using crash records obtained for the most recent five-year period available (2015-2019) from NJDOT Safety Voyager crash database, provided in Appendix X. Over this period, there were 39 crashes in the Town of Hammonton that involved pedestrians or pedalcycles (bicyclists and other cyclists including riders of non-motorized vehicles of any number of wheels, powered solely by pedals). Of those 37 crashes, 24 (65%) involved pedestrians and 13 (35%) involved pedalcycles.

The crash data is summarized in the figures and tables below. Additionally, a table summary containing data from each crash, along with a crash location map is included in Appendix X. Results of the crash review will be considered during the development of potential safety improvement alternatives to mitigate overrepresented crash types at specific locations and identified safety concerns.

Crashes by Year

Figure 3 below shows the distribution of pedestrian and pedalcyclist crashes within the Town of Hammonton over the latest five years of available crash data.




Crashes by Route

Pedestrian and pedalcyclist crashes were predominantly concentrated along major state highways and county routes in Hammonton. 48% of crashes occurred along two roadways (NJ 54 and US 30).


Crash Severity

Pedestrian and pedalcyclist crashes were analyzed based on injury severity. Figure 4 below shows a distribution of pedestrian and pedalcyclist crashes by injury severity based on the KABCO injury severity scale. Pedestrian crashes were more severe, with 62% of crashes resulting in a moderate injury or worse, while 46% of bicycle crashes involved a moderate or incapacitating injury with no deaths. Roadway conditions and locations of severe crashes (those involving deaths and incapacitating injuries) are noted, as pertinent, within this crash review summary.



Lighting Condition

The roadway lighting condition was obtained from each pedestrian and pedalcyclist crash record. A distribution of crashes by lighting condition is shown in Figure 5 below. The majority of crashes occurred during daylight hours. While streetlights were noted as on during most nighttime crashes, there were two crashes reports without streetlights on.



3.4 User Experience and Perceived Comfort

Traffic stress is the perceived sense of danger associated with riding in or adjacent to vehicle traffic. Studies have shown that traffic stress is one of the greatest deterrents to bicycling. The less stressful – and therefore more comfortable – a bicycle facility is, the wider its appeal to a broader segment of the population. A bicycle network will attract a large portion of the population if it is designed to reduce stress associated with potential motor vehicle conflicts and if it connects people bicycling with where they want to go.

Bikeways are considered low stress if they involve very little traffic interaction by nature of the roadway's vehicle speeds and volumes (e.g. a shared low-traffic neighborhood street) or if greater degrees of physical separation are placed between the bike facility and travel lane on roadways with higher traffic volumes and speeds (e.g. a separated bikeway on a major street).

Types of Bicyclists

Research indicates that most people in the United States (56-73%) would bicycle if dedicated bicycle facilities were provided. However, only a small percentage of Americans (1-3%) are willing to ride if no facilities are provided. (https://www.portlandoregon.gov/transportation/article/158497)

This research into how people perceive bicycling as a transportation choice has indicated that most people fall into one of four categories, illustrated below.

1-3% Strong and Fearless: Very comfortable and willing to ride on streets without designated facilities.

5-10% Enthusiastic & Confident: Very comfortable, but prefer streets with designated bike lanes.

50-60% Interested, but concerned: comfortable on trails and streets with buffered or separated bike lanes and interested in biking more.

30% Not currently interested: physically unable or very comfortable even on streets with separated bike lanes.

Bicycle Level of Traffic Stress (Bike LTS)

To better meet the needs of the "Interested, But Concerned" bicyclist, planners developed the Bicycle Level of Traffic Stress (Bicycle LTS) analysis as an objective, data-driven evaluation model to help identify streets with high levels of traffic stress. The analysis uses roadway network data (i.e. posted speed limit, street width, number of travel lanes, intersection conditions, presence and character of bike facilities, and lane use context) to determine bicyclist comfort level.

The combination of these criteria creates four level of traffic stress for the existing roadway network. The lower the number, the lower the stress and the higher the level of comfort for people on bicycles. LTS 1 & 2 roads are typically the roadways that appeal to the "Interested, but Concerned" cyclists.

Level 1: all ages and abilities

Level 1 includes off-street shared use paths and some very low-stress roadways suitable for all ages and abilities.

Level 2: average adult

Level 2 includes roadways that are comfortable enough that the mainstream adult population would ride a bicycle on them.

Level 3: confident adult

Level 3 includes arterial roadways with bicycle facilities that are probably only comfortable for an experienced, confident bicyclist.


Level 4: fearless adult

Level 4 includes arterial roadways with no bicycle facilities ridden only by strong or fearless bicyclists.

Results

The Bicycle LTS results map approximates the user experience for the majority of Hammonton residents, however people may have differing opinions of traffic stress depending on their own experiences.

Figure & Bigyde Level Of Traffic Stress Map





4.1 Meetings

Engaging the Hammonton community was a priority throughout the Plan development process. A variety of outreach opportunities were used to seek input from Hammonton residents and community members. Ongoing outreach ensured a continuous feedback loop that informed the final implementation matrix and overall goals. Though the project team was unable to promote outreach in-person due to the COVID-19 Pandemic, efforts were promoted virtually both through the Steering Committee's efforts and through Town Social Media platforms. This chapter presents an overview of the format and approach for each outreach opportunity, along with a summary of feedback received.

Steering Committee

A Steering Committee was formed of local, county and state officials, as well as other stakeholders identified by the NJDOT-BSBPP and Hammonton. The Steering Committee assisted with identifying deficiencies and opportunities for active transportation facilities within Hammonton and provided feedback on potential improvements. Representatives of the following offices, organizations and constituencies were invited to participate:

- · Mayor of Hammonton
- · Hammonton Town Administrator
- · Hammonton Engineer
- · Hammonton Public Works
- · Hammonton Public Safety
- Hammonton Parks & Recreation
- Hammonton Green Team/Environmental Commission
- · Hammonton BPAC / Kickstand Crew
- · Hammonton School District
- · Main Street Hammonton
- Atlantic County Planner
- South Jersey Transportation Planning Organization
- NJTRANSIT
- Cross County Connection TMA

Two Steering Committee Meetings were held. The first was a kick-off meeting with the purpose of presenting the scope, goals, and final deliverables of the project, as well as, identifying stakeholder, roles and responsibilities. This meeting was held on June 10, 2020. The second meeting, held on September 10, 2020, presented the Steering Committee with initial data collection efforts and findings.

Public Information Centers

Throughout the project, three public information centers (PIC) were held to present results to the public and to receive additional input and feedback. All meetings were held virtually due to COVID-19. These PICs were held on July 29, 2020 September 30, 2020 and November 30, 2020. Each of these meetings presented a different component of the project. The first PIC presented the data collection efforts, the second presented the existing conditions analysis components and the third described the recommended improvements that would be included in this report. At each of these meetings the public had the opportunity to ask questions and provide feedback. These meeting materials from all the public outreach efforts can be found in Appendix X.



4.1 Meetings (cont.)

Meetings with Local Officials

The Project Team attended one meeting of the Public Works & Transportation Committee (August 20, 2020), one meeting of the Planning Board (January 20, 2021), and one meeting that hosted a variety of municipal officials and representatives from the City Council and Planning Board (January 28, 2021). These meetings provided individuals on these committees/boards an opportunity to learn about the progress of the project, potential recommendations to be included, and provide feedback on all aspects of this project.

4.2 Digital Engagement

Project Webpage

A project webpage was developed at the start of the study. It was developed by the Town of Hammonton and was a page included in their existing municipal website. This page was used to post links and information about the project. The meeting registrations and recordings were also advertised on this webpage. The name of the webpage was determined with assistance from the steering committee (https://www.townofhammonton.org/bike-ped-planning/) Bike/Ped Planning was chosen because this page can not be utilized to track and advertise their future efforts.






4.2 Digital Engagement (cont.)

Interactive Map

In addition to the public outreach survey, an interactive map was developed using WikiMap. The map was available from mid-July through August 31st 2020. There were a total of 157 interactions with the mapping tool. An interaction is defined as placing something on the map, using the "Agree" or "Disagree" button on an existing feature, or commenting on an existing feature.

Individuals that went to the mapping page could choose to place a route or make a comment. The options in the route tool were: Desired Biking Route, Desired Walking Route and Other. While the options in the comment tool were: Obstruction to Walking, Obstruction to Biking, Desired Destination, Safety Concern, Bike Parking Needed, and Other. These locations will be evaluated and taken into consideration when developing alternatives. The results from this map can be found in Appendix X.




Public Outreach Survey

The Public Outreach Survey was available online through Survey Monkey. The link to access the survey was posted on the webpage for the project and advertised through social media. The survey was available from mid-July through August 31st, 2020. A total of 69 completed surveys were received.

Based on the data collected, 59% of people believe that there are enough destinations within walking and biking distance in Hammonton. While respondents also note that if they are walking it is more frequently for dog walking or fitness. When asked what respondents like the least about walking in Hammonton, 50% said that tree roots & other hazards make it hard (or impossible) to use sidewalks, while 68% of respondents stated the thing they like the least about biking is that sharing the road with cars feels unsafe.

When asked about what respondents would like to see more of, 60% said they would like to see more protection for pedestrians/bicyclists from speeding cars and off-street paths. 55% of respondents said they would like to see on-street bicycle lanes.

Respondents were asked which streets felt unsafe & stressful for pedestrians, more than 50% said that Egg Harbor/Moss Mill Road, Chew Road, 1st Road, 2nd Road, Central Avenue, Bellevue Avenue/12th Street, and Fairview Avenue/13th were unsafe & stressful to walk or bike on.



5.1 Pedestrian Facility Recommendations

Inventory of the existing sidewalk was conducted on the ten priority corridors identified. The inventory included determining if there were areas with missing sidewalk, and areas where sidewalks are not compliant with the Americans with Disabilities (ADA) Act.

Based on the sidewalk condition inventory, Hammonton has an extensive sidewalk network. However, some sidewalks have missing connections. The inventory collected locations of missing sidewalks, sidewalk width, and sidewalk conditions.


Central Avenue (CR 542) has good sidewalk conditions throughout the corridor except for a section between Broadway (CR 680) and White Horse Pike (US 30), where the sidewalk is missing. Other corridors with missing sidewalks include: a section between the Hammonton Town Border and Chew Road (CR 559) on Bellevue Avenue, three sections on Egg Harbor Road (CR 602), a section between the Hammonton Town Border and 12th Street on Chew Road, a section between the Hammonton Town Border and Pleasant Mills Road on Main Road, two sections on Broadway, a section between Central and Valley Avenue and a section Liberty and Brynmaur Avenue, and the entire 2nd Road corridor. There is only one section between Grape Street and 13th Street on Egg Harbor Road with poor sidewalk conditions.

In addition to the priority corridors, it is also recommended, that Hammonton close existing gaps on Old Forks Road, Walnut Street, and 4th Street due to the presence of the Elementary School.





Figure 78 Sidewalk Inventory Map



5.2 Bicycle Facility Recommendations

The implementation of bicycle facilities is a critical step towards encouraging cycling in an area as an essential form of transportation. However, it is important that bicycle facilities are properly designed to ensure that they are safe, comfortable and useful to most people. According to the New Jersey Complete Streets Guidelines there are five guiding principles to achieve effective implementation:

- Continuous: many bicycle lanes disappear at intersections and other stressful locations. To be successful, bicycle lanes must be continuous through these locations.
- Connected: Gaps in a bicycle network can discourage potential riders. Bicycle routes should be interconnected to create a robust network that connects where people live and where they want to go.
- Convenient: Bicycle networks must conveniently and directly connect cyclists to key destinations to encourage higher rates of cycling.
- Complete: A successful network considers what happens when a bicycle ride ends. This means considering how complete a street is, including the presence of sidewalks, bicycle parking, and access to transit
- Comfortable: A bicycle network should be comfortable and inviting for riders of all ages and abilities, providing the sense that bicycling is a safe and convenient activity.

The New Jersey Complete Streets Design Guide outlines types of on-road bicycle facilities.

The recommended bicycle facilities are proposed to improve bicycle compatibility and accessibility in Hammonton. They include a variety of bicycle facility treatments such as "sharrows," bicycle lanes, buffered bicycle lanes, and shared use paths. The recommendations are intended to be implemented within the existing cross-section of the roadway as part of re-surfacing, restriping or other roadway reconstruction projects.

Bike lanes are a common on-road bicycle facility and there are several opportunities to install them on roadways throughout Hammonton. In locations where sufficient roadway width is available; a buffer could be included between the bike lane and the parking lane or between the bike lane and the travel lane. A buffer may be preferred by less skilled bicyclists when higher traffic volumes and speeds are present.

In locations where space is constrained, and bike lanes cannot be accommodated, sharrows are proposed. Sharrows may be used to indicate a shared environment for bicycles and automobiles. Under these conditions, it is recommended that "Share the Road" signs and Shared Lane Markings be incorporated to reinforce the shared lane concept.

The following table outlines the recommended bicycle facilities and roadway conditions necessary for the recommendation. These recommendations follow the guidelines in the New Jersey Complete Street Design Guide. Additional bicycle facilities with design guidance can be found in the New Jersey Complete Streets Design Guide. The proposed cross section alternatives for each priority corridor can be found in Appendix X. Many of the locations have several alternatives, guidance from the State of New Jersey should be utilized to determine which alternative will be best for Hammonton.

Table 1: Bigydle Fadility Types

Facility Type	Facility Width	Roadway Speed Limit (MPH)	Average Daily Traffic (ADT)
Bicycle Lane	5'	25-35	< 10,000
Buffered Bicycle Lane	5' Bike Lane 3' Buffer	25-45	< 15,000
Separated Two- Way Bike Lane	12'	≤ 45	Any
"Sharrow"		≤ 25	< 10,000
Advisory Bike Lane	5'	≤ 25	< 6,000
Shared-Use Path	10-14'	Any	Any

HAMMONTON BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN MASTER PLAN



"Sharrows"

Also known as shared lane markings, are used on roadways when it is not feasible to have a dedicated bicycle facility. Shared lane markings are used to show that the roadway environment is to be shared between bicycles and automobiles. These markings should not only show that the roadway is shared but should also suggest exactly where on the roadway the bicyclist should ride. This helps motorists to anticipate the presence of bicyclists.

Bicycle Lane

According to the New Jersey Complete Streets Design Guide, bicycle lanes provide an exclusive space for bicyclists using pavement markings and signage. It is the preference to paint these lanes green to draw awareness to them and further increase bicycle safety. Bicycle lanes are meant for one-way travel and typically are located on both sides of two-way streets and one side of one-way streets. Bicycle lanes can enable bicyclists to ride at their preferred speed, without interference from motorists. The minimum bicycle lane width with no-on street parking is 5' adjacent to a curb.





Buffered bicycle lanes

Buffered Bicycle Lanes follow the same guidelines as typical Bicycle lanes, plus they include a marked buffer space that separates the bicycle lane from the adjacent travel lanes or parking lanes. Buffers decrease the risk of conflict between bicyclists and motor vehicles. The preferred width of a buffered bicycle lane is 5'.

Two-way separated Bicycle Lanes

Two-way separated bicycle lanes are physically separated bicycle lanes that allow bicycle movement in both directions on one side of the road. Two-way separated bicycle lanes share many of the same design characteristics as one-way buffered bicycle lanes but might require additional considerations at driveway and side street crossings. The preferred width of two-way separated bicycle lanes is 12', the minimum permitted is 10'.





Shared Use Path

Shared use paths are similar to bike lanes however, they can be used by other modes of non-motorized transportation such as walking, running or skateboarding. They are also more distinctly separated from the roadway. Shared use paths should be located outside of the roadway pavement width, separated from traffic by either open space or a barrier. Unlike bike lanes, shared use paths are designed for two-way travel. The minimum width for a shared-use path is 10'.

Advisory Bike Lane (Yield Street)

Advisory Bike Lanes are also recommended on several corridors in Hammonton. This bicycle facility type is outlined in the FHWA Small Town and Rural Multimodal Networks Guide. Advisory Bike Lanes may also be referred to as Yield Streets. They are placed on low-volume low-speed two-way roads. The two travel lanes are converted into one wide lane and an advisory bike lane is striped on either side with dashed striping. Vehicular traffic shares the one lane in the middle and as needed (with no bicyclists present) may go into the bicycle lane when passing a vehicle coming in the opposite direction. There is street signage that can be placed to explain this to drivers and bicyclists on the roadway. It is recommended that the advisory bike lane be 5' in width, similar to the conventional bike lane.





Figure & Bigyde Network Map



5.3 Intersection Recommendations

Intersections are one of the most critical parts of any transportation network. They are key points for all users as they travel through a street network and can act as important nodes of activity for community life. While they can have positive impacts on community life they also account for the most serious and frequent conflicts between all travel modes. If an intersection is not functioning properly, it can dramatically reduce mobility and safety for all modes. However, a well-designed intersection that facilitates visibility and predictability for all users can reduce crashes. Intersection design should allow the street space to be effectively shared by pedestrians, bicyclists and drivers.

Pedestrians are encouraged to cross at signal-controlled intersections. These signalized intersections should be properly delineated for pedestrian crossings. Additionally, crosswalks must be ADA compliant and signalized intersections should include countdown pedestrian signal heads. At intersections within commercial districts and areas conducive to pedestrian traffic, crosswalks should be properly signed and striped, and the use of longitudinal thermoplastic stripes should be considered to delineate crosswalks. Along with signage and striping, signalized intersections should have lighting and where feasible and traffic calming measures. The following are different strategies and traffic calming measures recommended throughout Hammonton.



High Visibility Crosswalks: A crosswalk is a portion of a roadway designated for pedestrian to cross streets. The striping of crosswalks is important, it creates a high level of visual contrast with the surface of the roadway to draw both pedestrian and drivers attention.

Some striping styles are more visible than others. It is recommended that Hammonton use a ladder style striping or red brick paver crosswalks. These have been shown to be the most visible and are recommended in the New Jersey Complete Streets Guidelines.

Curb Ramps: ADA guidelines require appropriately designed curb ramps at all pedestrian crossings. These curb ramps are essential to provide easy access at crossings for pedestrians of all ages and abilities. Curb ramps assist in providing a smooth



transition from the sidewalk level to the street level and back again. Additional to the curb ramp, detectable warning surfaces should also be included. These warning surfaces assist people with visual impairments to determine safe crossing locations.

Curb Extensions: are an example of a traffic calming measure. These can also be referred to as bulb-outs or bump-outs. A curb extension extends the curb line and sidewalk into the existing roadway, thus expanding the available pedestrian realm. The benefits of curb extensions include the following:

- Increased visibility for pedestrians and drivers
- Reduction of pedestrian crossing distance
- Traffic calming
- · Shields on-street parking from intersection
- Expands pedestrian realm



Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFBs): are a lower cost alternative to traffic signals and hybrid signals that are shown to increase driver yielding behavior at crosswalks significantly when supplementing standard pedestrian crossing warning signs and markings. RRFBs are user-actuated amber LEDs that


are recommended at unsignalized intersections or midblock crosswalks, they can be activated by pedestrians manually by a push button or passivley by a pedestrian detection system.

Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI): give pedestrians the opportunity to enter an intersection 3-7 seconds before vehicles are given a green indication. With this head start pedestrians can better establish their presence in the crosswalk before vehicles have priority to



turn left. LPIs provide the following benefits:

- Increased visibility of crossing pedestrians
- Reduced conflicts between pedestrians and vehicles
- Increased likelihood of motorists yielding to pedestrians
- Enhanced safety for pedestrians who may be slower to start into the intersection.



Roundabout: recently the modern roundabout has been recommended as an alternative to traditional signzalized intersections for several reasons. The primary reason to recommend a modern roundabout is because they have been shown to reduce the frequency

of certain crash types as well as reduce crash severity. According to the FHWA Roundabout Informational Guide, within acceptable parameters, roundabouts provide better operational performance than a signal in terms of stops, delay, vehicle queues, fuel consumption, safety, and pollution emissions. The safety benefits of modern roundabouts are attributed to several factors:

- A reduced number of vehicle conflict points. There are 32 conflict points for a conventional intersection and only eight conflict points for an equivalent roundabout.
- Reduced number of crashes. Speeds in roundabouts are generally slower giving motorists more time to assess potential conflicts and react. Reduces right angle and head-on crashes.
- Reduces crash severity. The lower speeds entering roundabouts reduce crash severity. Right angle and head-on crashes typically create the most severe injury types.

• Safer pedestrian crossings. There are also a reduced number of vehicle/pedestrian conflict points. With a pedestrian refuge island, pedestrians cross one direction of traffic at a time.

Roundabouts have also been shown to improve operations of roadways. This can be attributed to the fact that vehicles do not need to come to a complete stop when traveling in a roundabout, therefore vehicles typically experience less delay than in other types of intersections. Additionally, roundabouts do not have as many on-going maintenance costs when compared to signalized intersections.

Raised/Textured crossings and intersections: increase driver awareness to the presence of pedestrians. They force vehicular traffic to slow down as they pass through the crossing or intersection. This strategy has also been shown to increase the rate at which motorists comply with the "stop for pedestrians law" per the New Jersey Complete Streets Design Guide. Raised crossing and intersections work best when applied on minor streets with access to major pedestrian destinations, such as routes to school.

Intersection recommendations are proposed for the following intersections:

- US 206 / US 30 / NJ 54
- Vine Street and Egg Harbor Road
- Cherry Street / Line Street / Egg Harbor Road
- Central Avenue / Third Street / Vine Street

Concepts for each of these recommendations can be found on the following pages. These recommendations have been vetted by NJDOT Traffic Engineering. Additional consideration in the future should be given to the US 30 corridor through Hammonton as a whole.

Recommendations for signalized intersection timings can be found in Appendix X.

US 206, US 30, and NJ 54

- Installation of Pedestrian Signal Heads and Push Buttons
- 2 ADA Compliant Curb Ramps
- 3 Sidewalk Installation
- 4 Curb Extensions
- Installation of crosswalk on the eastern side of US 30
- 6 Removal of existing slip lane





Vine Street and Egg Harbor Road

- Installation of High Visibility Crosswalk
- 2 ADA Compliant Curb Ramps
- Installation of Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFB)
- Installation of textured intersection
- **5** Bus Turnouts






Cherry Street, Line Street and Egg Harbor Road


- Installation of High Visibility Crosswalk
- 2 ADA Compliant Curb Ramps
- Installation of Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFB)
- Installation of textured intersection





Central Avenue, Third Street and Vine Street





Central Avenue, Third Street and Vine Street





5.4 Townwide Recommendations

In addition to specific infrastructure projects and related programmatic efforts, some amenities are needed townwide to complete the active transportation network. These amenities should be installed as a matter of policy in conjunction with any project as opportunities arise, or when development occurs. Amenities recommended in this Plan include a comprehensive wayfinding program and secure bicycle parking.

Wayfinding Recommendations

An important step in advertising and promoting the facility improvements being made to these priority corridors are wayfinding signage. The National Association of City Transportation Officials (NACTO) defines a bicycle wayfinding system as comprehensive signing and/or pavement marking that guide bicyclists to their destinations along preferred bicycle routes. Typically, signs are placed at decision points along bicycle routes, this could be at intersections of other major locations. Wayfinding signage helps to make less experienced bicyclists more comfortable in the environment and encourage these bicyclists to use the safest routes available.


Wayfinding systems can be implemented and designed formally by a municipality or business improvement district. However, in many cases walking and biking advocates have organized informal wayfinding systems.

Benefits:

- Familiarize bicyclists with the bicycle network
- Identify preferred routes to key destinations
- Increase awareness of the bicycle network to drivers
- Increase accessibility and convenience of the bicycle network to visitors and casual users
- If mileage and/or travel time information is included it can minimize the tendency to overestimate the amount of time necessary to travel to a destination

The New Jersey Complete Streets Design Guide outlines some design guidance for wayfinding:

- Signage should maintain a clean, visible, and consistent design
- Signs should be on both sides of the street or trail
- Maps should be properly oriented so that the direction the user is facing is at the top
 - A "You Are Here" symbol should be included
- Distances should be provided by the time needed to reach the destination



Source: NACTO Bike Route Wayfinding Signage Design Guidance

5.4 Townwide Recommendations (cont.)

Bicycle Parking Recommendations

No bicycling network is complete without convenient and secure bicycle parking. Bicycle parking can take many forms, from a simple bicycle rack to secure storage in a locker or gated area. The Plan recommends the town continue to expand it's bicycle parking as opportunities arise and new development occurs.


Short Term Bicycle Parking

Bicycle parking can be categorized into short-term and long-term parking. Bicycle racks are the preferred device for short-term bicycle parking. These racks serve people who leave their bicycles for relatively short periods of time, typically for shopping or errands, dining or recreation. Bicycle racks provide a high level of convenience and moderate security. The rack types illustrated on the right and recommended for use in Hammonton are consistent with the School Bike Parking Guide from NJDOT. The Town may also choose to partner with local artist groups to pursue customized racks that serve as bicycle parking in addition to public art. Where possible, on-street bicycle corrals can be used to provide increased bicycle parking where high demand or limited sidewalk space exists.

Long Term Bicycle Parking

Long-term bicycle parking includes bike lockers and secure parking areas and serves people who intend to leave their bicycles for longer periods of time. Bike lockers may vary in design and operation including keyed lockers that are rented to one individual on an annual or monthly basis or e-lockers that can be reserved online in hourly increments and unlocked with a credit card or an access code.

These facilities provide a higher level of security than bicycle racks, and are typically found at transit stations, multifamily residential buildings, commercial buildings and in other areas where bicyclists running multiple errands would benefit from a secure place to store parcels in addition to their bicycle.



Source: NJDOT School Bike Parking Guide

5.4 Townwide Recommendations (cont.)

Pedestrian-Scale Lighting

Pedestrian scale lighting is a type of lighting with frequent lampposts at low height that illuminate the walking area. This typically includes poles 12 to 15 feet high spaced 25 to 30 feet apart, directly above walking areas. Pedestrian scale lighting not only increases visibility of pedestrians for drivers at night, it contributes to a more comfortable and inviting streetscape for people walking at night.

Pedestrian scale lighting should be appropriately designed to illuminate only the areas needed and be no brighter than necessary. Street tress should be appropriately maintained so they do not obstruct illumination from the lighting along sidewalks and pathways.

This Plan recommends the Town evaluate locations where pedestrian scale lighting may improve pedestrian comfort and encourage walking, including Downtown, the areas near schools, and trails.

Amenities

Sidewalk and trail furnishings like benches, shade structures, restrooms, water fountains, and trash receptacles contribute to a cleaner, more comfortable, and more pedestrian-oriented public realm. These elements not only encourage the activation of Hammonton's sidewalk and trail networks, they contribute to a more accessible pedestrian network for all residents. The Plan recommends the Town identify and pursue opportunities to provide amenities in the downtown, near transit stops and along trails in the community.



5.5 Policy & Actions

Planning

Policy 1: Integrate bicycle and pedestrian network and facility needs into all city planning documents and capital improvement projects.

- Action 1.1: Review the existing Complete Streets
 policy and update based on the Complete & Green
 Streets for All: Model Complete Streets Policy &
 Guide. Include and utilize the Complete Streets
 Checklists to assure consideration of pedestrian
 and bicycle facility needs in Town transportation
 projects and roadway improvements.
- Action 1.2: Follow a multi-disciplinary project scoping process that incorporates the needs of all modes and stakeholders, both internal and external. The design process should include the Town divisions, departments, and staff responsible for emergency response, parking, law enforcement, maintenance, and other affected areas.
- Action 1.3: Evaluate all streets during pavement resurfacing to determine if bicycle facilities can be provided (e.g. bike lanes, wider shoulders) when the striping is reapplied.
- Action 1.4: Ensure that all traffic impact studies, analyses of proposed street changes, and development projects address impacts on bicycling and walking facilities. Specifically, the following should be considered:
 - Consistency with the Master Plan, and the Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan policies and recommendations
 - Impact on the existing bikeway and pedestrian network.
 - Degree to which bicycle and walking travel patterns are altered or restricted by the projects
 - Safety of future bicycle and pedestrian operations
- Action 1.5: Require new development or reconstruction if applicable to address the pedestrian and bicycle circulation element based on the above considerations.
- Action 1.6: Conduct regular pedestrian and bicycle counts before and after project implementation.

 Action 1.7: Continue to implement the guidelines set forth in this report for all new development projects to support integration of transportation into land use planning decisions.

Policy 2: Coordinate with other agencies and stakeholders to incorporate Hammonton Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan elements.

- Action 2.1: Work with adjacent governmental entities, public service companies, coordinating agencies, and transit agencies to ensure the Plan recommendations are incorporated into their planning and areas of responsibility, and vice versa.
- Action 2.2: Work with transit providers
 (NJTRANSIT) to improve bicycle and pedestrian
 access (first/last mile connections) to transit
 stations and the comfort of transit stops and
 onboard transit vehicles, especially during peak
 commute hours, and to provide secure bike
 parking, benches, and covered waiting areas at
 stations and stops.

Design

Policy 3: Design a Low Stress Bikeway Network suitable for the "Interested but Concerned" to include people of all ages and ability levels riding bicycles.

- Action 3.1: Design a network of continuous Low Stress Bikeways as identified in this plan. Projects that improve comfort at intersections and along corridor with high stress should be prioritized.
- Action 3.2: Utilize the design guidelines in this plan, guidance from the New Jersey Complete Streets Design Guide, the North American City Transportation Officials (NACTO), and most recent State and Federal design standards and guidelines to develop plans for on-street bicycle facilities along additional corridors and at intersections.
- Action 3.3: Follow a multi-disciplinary design process that incorporates and balances the needs of all modes and stakeholders, both internal and external; the design process should include the Town divisions, departments and staff responsible for emergency response, parking, law

5.5 Policy & Actions (cont.)

enforcement, maintenance, and other affect areas as well as other responsible external stakeholder agencies.

Policy 4: Design a connected, convenient and comfortable pedestrian network to serve people of all ages and abilities.

- **Action 4.1:** Include sidewalks on all new or retrofitted roadways.
- **Action 4.2:** Identify and construct sidewalks in areas where they are incomplete.
- Action 4.3: Enforce sidewalk maintenance to ensure that adjacent property owners maintain the sidewalk properly.
- **Action 4.4:** Plan and develop well-connected streets, sidewalks, and pathways that provide the most direct paths of travel for pedestrians. Remove barriers to walking where feasible.
- Action 4.5: Install leading pedestrian interval phases in traffic signal timing both in the downtown and outside of the downtown, as warranted, to encourage walking and facilitate crossing busy regional or high-volume transitional streets.
- Action 4.6: Review signal locations on an annual basis to identify and adjust for increased pedestrian clearance time where needed.
- **Action 4.7:** Routinely evaluate locations for enhancing crosswalks.

Policy 5: Design accessible, comfortable and continuous off-street paths that contribute to the framework of Hammonton's active transportation network.

- Action 5.1: Utilize the design guidelines in this Plan and most recent State and Federal design standards and guidelines to develop plans for ADA-compliant off-street trails.
- Action 5.2: Utilize Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) principles in the design of trails.
- **Action 5.3:** Identify opportunities for trailhead enhancements to include gateway treatments, public art, wayfinding, and placemaking.

Policy 6: Develop an easy to read, unified and comprehensive wayfinding system for bicyclists, pedestrians and trail users.

• **Action 6.1:** Pursue grant funding to develop a consistent citywide wayfinding program and replace all prior wayfinding signs.

Operations And Maintenance

Policy 7: Maintain designated facilities to be comfortable and free of hazards to bicycling and walking

- Actions 7.1: Trim overhanging and encroaching vegetation to maintain a clear path of travel along pedestrian and bicycle facilities.
- Action 7.2: Incorporate maintenance needs into design of separated bikeways to ensure proper maintenance after construction.

Policy 8: Maintain bicycle parking

- Action 8.1: Develop a procedure for inspection and prompt replacement of damaged bicycle racks.
- Action 8.2: Remove abandoned bicycle from bicycle racks and donate to local non-profit community bicycle shops for use in youth education programs.

Evaluation

Policy 9: Measure bicycling and walking activity through an annual count program.

- **Action 9.1:** Establish an annual count program at key locations around the city.
- Action 9.2: Make the data publicly available on an on-going or at least annual bases.

Policy 10: Report annually on the implementation of this plan.

- **Action 10.1:** Prepare and present a report on the progress in:
 - Achieving the three Goals of the Plan in terms of their specific performance measures.
 - Implementing the Policies and Action of this Plan.



6. Implementation

The recommendations outlined in the Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan provide an opportunity to enhance biking and walking throughout Hammonton. There are multiple opportunities to improve bicycle and pedestrian access and mobility. The following sections provide guidance on coordination, planning, education, and funding sources that can serve as a resource for advancing and implementing the proposed facilities throughout Hammonton.

Coordination

Coordination between Hammonton, neighboring communities, and Atlantic County should be initiated to advance improvements for bicycle and pedestrian accommodations on roadways. A potential next step could be the formation of a working group (e.g., Complete Streets Task Force) to spearhead a public information campaign and pursue opportunities and resources to support the design and implementation of facilities. The working group would be led by a Complete Streets "Champion" and could assist with advancing priority recommendations and build upon the preliminary network and regional connections identified in this plan, as well as, identify opportunities for improving biking and walking through future development. An alternative to creating a new working group, the tasks around this project could be implemented into the tasks of an existing group, such as the existing Environmental Protection Committee, Open Space Committee, or a Neighborhood Green Team in the Town of Hammonton.

The working group should create partnerships within the Town of Hammonton community to advance the Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan. Within the community, businesses, private developers and neighborhood associations can be important allies in providing ongoing support. Partnerships with neighboring municipalities, Atlantic County, and NJDOT can help to achieve consistency in design treatments for roads operated by different agencies.

Additionally, it is recommended that Hammonton adopt this plan into their existing Master Plan.

Educational Programming

To encourage safe use of existing and proposed facilities and more walking and bicycling trips, it is recommended that the Town of Hammonton promote walking and bicycling and implement educational programs on best practices and safety. Education programs are recommended for all types of users of all ages. Efforts should be made to educate bicyclists, pedestrians and motorists on the rules of the road and how to safely share the road. Widespread education efforts can contribute to safer roadways for all. Encouragement is also needed to promote the spread of bicycling and walking as means of transport, recreation, and physical activity.

Safe Routes to School (SRTS) is a federally funded program with the goal of making it safer for students, including those with disabilities, to walk and bike to school. NJDOT provides funding to schools and communities to improve walking and bicycling conditions to schools through a SRTS Infrastructure Grant Program. At the local levels, assistance to schools and communities with Non-Infrastructure Programs is provided by the New Jersey SRTS Resource Center and the eight Transportation Management Associations.

Cross County Connection is a non-profit Transportation Management Association located in Burlington County that provides free Safe Routes to School (SRTS) programming to its service area, which includes the Town of Hammonton. Cross County Connection advocates for safe walking and biking to school for students K-8 with educational programs such as Walk to School events, Bicycle Learn-To-Ride's, and in-class activities that teach students the rules of the road. Cross County Connection also provides evaluation programs such as walkability audits and the development of School Travel Plans, SRTS and Cross County Connection can provide an educational component of Complete Streets to students in the Town of Hammonton schools. To set up programming, the Town of Hammonton is encouraged to contact the Safe Routes to School Coordinator at Cross County Connection. Additional SRTS resources can be found on the New Jersev SRTS Resource Center website and the National Center for Safe Routes to School website.

In addition to SRTS Cross County Connection also provides programming for the Street Smart Campaign. Street Smart is a public education, awareness and behavioral change campaign. The program utilizes visibility enforcement, education and public awareness to address pedestrian safety issues. Street Smart is organized by the North Jersey Transportation Planning Authority (NJTPA), the goals of this initiative are below.

- Change pedestrian and motorist behavior to reduce pedestrian crashes, injuries and fatalities in New Jersey.
- Educate motorists and pedestrians about their roles and responsibilities in safely sharing the roadways.
- Increase enforcement of pedestrian safety laws.
- For more information visit the Cross County Connection or NJTPA website.

Promotional Activities

A wide variety of programs are available to encourage Hammonton residents to walk or bike more often. Below are some recommended educational programs:

Walk to School Day: This is one of the most fundamental strategies for encouraging younger residents to walk or bicycle. Although sometimes referred to as "Walk and Roll to School Day," this event has been popularized in the past as "Walk to School Day," and the typical focus has been on encouraging walking and biking to school. As one idea, walking and bicycling could be one of the units available in physical education classes. In the fall or spring, physical education teachers could enroll students in walking and bicycling events for a minimum number of miles. Cross County Connection can provide assistance in coordinating Walk to School events.

Join a Walking or Bicycling Club: Residents of Hammonton can start a club to encourage other residents to log on a certain number of miles per week on foot or bicycling.

Special Events: A Walk to School Day is an example of a special event; other examples include Trails Day, Car Free Day, Traffic Safety Day, and Bike to Work Day.

Awareness Campaign: Public service announcements on cable television, posters, brochures, and bumper stickers promote increased use of walking or bicycling in general for errands, work trips, school and other purposes, or to promote special event days.

Commuter of the Month: Hammonton businesses, public agencies, or Cross County Connection could recognize the employee that walks or bicycles to work with the greatest frequency.



TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATION









Enforcement

An important component of a safe and well-traveled transportation system is an enforcement program for traffic regulations as they apply to each type of roadway user: motorists, bicyclists, and pedestrians. The Town of Hammonton can improve travel habits and behavior through enforcement. This process should include reviewing current ordinances and traffic regulations to identify elements that may unnecessarily affect certain roadway users, such as bicyclists. As bicycle facilities are installed, it is recommended that local ordinances and regulations be developed or revised to clarify items such as: application of vehicle laws to bicyclists, permitted movements on and across bicycle facilities (e.g., permitted motor vehicle movements across bicycle lanes), bicycling on sidewalks, and bicycle parking requirements.

In addition, a review of enforcement regulations and practices may assist in identifying opportunities to partner with community, county, or state organizations to inform users about safe bicycle travel behavior, such as the required use of helmets by bicyclists under the age of 17 (N.J.S.A 39:4-10.1), the N.J.S.A 39: 4-36 which requires motorists to stop for pedestrians in the crosswalk, or the N.J.S.A 39:4-14.2 which requires bicyclists to ride in single file.

Outreach and promotion through community channels and events is a critical piece in reminding motorists, bicyclists, and pedestrians of applicable laws and recommended travel practices. The Street Smart campaign is one method that could be utilized.

Capital Improvements Projects

The Town of Hammonton should review their Capital Improvement Projects to determine where bicycle and pedestrian improvements can be integrated. The majority of bicycle facility recommendations outlined within this plan can be implemented as part of regular roadway resurfacing and/or restriping projects. When implemented as part of a larger maintenance or construction project the added cost for roadway markings and signage is minor within the scope of the larger project.

Funding The Improvements

Several federal and state programs are commonly used to fund bicycle and pedestrian improvement projects. Table 2 provides a list of programs, the program administrator, who is eligible to apply, and the estimated amount of funding available for an individual allotment. Note: The estimated amounts are based on previous amounts awarded to municipalities and counties. The Town of Hammonton can use this Implementation Plan to pursue funding through these programs.

South Jersey Transportation Planning Organization (SJTPO) works with its federal partners, NJDOT, its subregions and other state and local agencies to make travel safer and more reliable for all who use Southern New Jersey's transportation system. To support these efforts, SJTPO solicits candidate projects for implementation several different programs. Details of each can be found in the South Jersey Bicycle & Pedestrian Funding Guide developed by Cross County Connection.

Many improvements (e.g., installing "Share the Road" or Wayfinding signage or striping a bike lane) can be implemented quickly and at a relatively low cost. There are a number of opportunities for grants to fund bicycle and pedestrian improvements. Additionally, the Town should coordinate with Atlantic County on county road projects that run through Hammonton.

The recommended concepts for both bicycle and pedestrian projects could be eligible for the following potential funding sources:

NJDOT Municipal Aid: Each year NJDOT invites municipalities to apply for funds to go towards road improvement projects. This includes, resurfacing, rehabilitation or reconstruction and signalization. NJDOT has set a goal to award up to 10% of the Municipal Aid program funds to projects such as pedestrian safety improvements, bikeways and streetscapes.

NJDOT County Aid: these funds are used for the improvement of public roads and bridges that are under county jurisdiction. Public transportation and other transportation projects are also included.

NJDOT Safe Routes to School: provides federal-aid highway funds for infrastructure projects that enable and encourage children in grades K-8, including those with disabilities, to safely walk and bicycle to school. Bonus points on the grant are given to applicants with School Travel Plans, a Complete Street Policy and Transit Village Designation.

NJDOT Safe Streets to Transit: provides funds to construct safe and accessible pedestrian linkages to transit facilities, to promote increased usage of transit by all segments of the population.

NJDOT Transportation Enhancements/ Transportation Alternatives Program: provides federal funds for community based "non-traditional" transportation projects designed to strengthen the cultural, aesthetic and environmental aspects of the nation's intermodal system. Bonus points on the grant are given to municipalities that have an adopted Complete Street Policy and Transit Village Designation.

Sustainable Jersey: provides capacity building awards to municipalities to support local green teams and their programs to make progress toward Sustainable Jersey Certification.

New Jersey Healthy Communities Network: this is a partnership of grantees, funders, and advocate organizations who seek collective impact on a community's well-being by supporting healthy eating and active living. The Community Grant Program provides the opportunity to develop healthy environments for people to live, work, learn and play by funding policies, projects and programs that support walking and biking.

New Jersey Transportation Bank: provides low interest loans to local government units for transportation infrastructure projects. It is a partnership between NJDOT and the New Jersey Infrastructure Bank (I-Bank).

NJDEP Recreational Trails Grant: The Federal Highway Administration's Recreational Trails Program provides financial assistance to states for developing and maintaining trails and trail facilities. New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection administers the program in New Jersey.

Implementation Matrix

It is recommended that Hammonton determine practical means for implementing the recommendations made in this Implementation Matrix for the proposed improvements is included as Table 3 to assist Hammonton. The Implementation Matrix is intended to assist the Town in prioritizing the recommendations for a phased implementation, as well as identifying costs and the appropriate agency to coordinate carrying them out. Prioritization is determined by the proximity of the locations to the Central Business District, Schools and other points of interest. It can also be affected by other projects that may be occurring in the same space, for example, a road resurfacing project, or new developments.

Table 2: Funding Opportunities

Program Name	Program Administrator	Estimated Award (\$)	Eligibility	Additional Notes
Municipal Aid	ијрот	\$100,000 - \$500,000	Municipalities are eligible to apply for improvement of any public road or bridge governed by the municipality.	
County Aid	NJDOT	\$5 Million - \$10 Million	Counties are eligible to apply for improvement of public roads and bridges under county jurisdiction.	Each county must develop an Annual Transportation Program. The City of Absecon should coordinate with Atlantic County to list projects on county roads.
Sa fe Routes to School	NJDOT	Under \$500,000	Any county, municipality, school, school district, or board of education are eligible to apply.	Funds are intended to be used for projects that facilitate walking and/or bicycling to school.
Safe Streets to Transit	NJDOT	Under \$500,000	Counties and municipalities are eligible to apply.	
Bikeway Grants	NJDOT	\$100,000 - \$300,000	Counties and municipalities are eligible to apply	Funds support the State's goal of constructing 1,000 new miles of dedicated bicycle paths.
Transportation Enhancements / Transportation Alternatives Program	NJDOT	\$100,000 - \$500,000	Counties and municipalities are eligible to apply:	
Sustainable Jersey Grants Program	Sustainable Jersey	\$1,000 - \$35,000	Municipalities are eligible to apply.	
NJ HCN Community Grant Program	New Jersey Healthy Communities Network	N/A	Municipalities, non-profit organizations, parks and recreation departments, school boards, are eligible to apply.	
New Jersey Transportation Bank	NJDOT and New Jersey Infrastructure Bank	N/A	Municipalities, counties, regional transportation authorities, or any other political subdivision of the state are eligible to apply.	
Recreational Trails Grant	New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection	Under \$50,000	Government agencies and non- profit organizations are eligible to apply.	

Table & Implementation Matrix

Туре	Improvement	Location	Timeframe	Cost	Priority	Responsble Agency
		12th Street (SR 54)	Short	Low	Low	NJDOT
		13th Street (CR 678)	Short	Low	Medium	County
		1st Road (CR 688)	Short	Low	Low	County
		2nd Road (CR 559)	Short	Low	Low	County
	011 11 7 1 11 11	Broadway (CR 680)	Short	Low	Medium	County
	Sidewalk Installation	Central Avenue (CR 542)	Short	Low	Medium	County
		Chew Road	Short	Low	Low	Hammonton
		Egg Harbor Road (CR 602)	Short	Low	High	County
		Main Road (CR 679)	Short	Low	Medium	County
		Route 206/US 30/NJ 54	Short	Low	High	NIDOT
		13th Street/Fairview Avenue (CR 678)	Short	Low	High	County/Hammonton
		2nd Road (CR 559)	Short	Low	Low	County/Hammonton
	"Sharrows"	Broadway (CR 680)		Low	Medium	County/Hammonton
	Silariows		Short			
		Egg Harbor Road (CR 602)	Short	Low	High	County/Hammonton
		Main Road (CR 679)	Short	Low	High	County/Hammonton
	Advisory Bike Lanes	Park Avenue	Medium	Low	High	Hammonton
		4th Street	Long	Low	Low	Hammonton
		Central Avenue/CR 542 (from Bellevue Avenue to Walmer Street)	Long	High	Medium	County/Hammonton
	Bike Lanes	Chew Road	Short	Low	Low	Hammonton
		Old Forks Road	Long	Low	Low	Hammonton
		Road to Excellence	Long	Low	Low	Hammonton
		Walnut Street	Long	Low	Low	Hammonton
	Buffered Bike Lanes	12th Street/Bellevue Avenue (SR 54)	Short	Low	Low	NJDOT
		1st Road (CR 688)	Long	High	High	County/Hammonton
Engineering	Shared-Use Path	Central Avenue/CR 542 (from Walmer Street to US 30)	Long	High	Medium	County/Hammonton
		Moss Mill Road	Long	High	High	Hammonton
		Seagrove Avenue	Long	High	Low	Hammonton
	Bicycle Parking	Key Trip Generators Townwide	Short	Low	High	Hammonton
			Medium			Hammonton
	Wayfinding/Bike Route Signage	Along all Priority Corridors		Low	Medium	
	1D1 G 1' 1 G 1 D	Cherry Street/Line Street/ Egg Harbor Road	Short	Low	High	Hammonton
	ADA Compliant Curb Ramps	Route 206/US 30/NJ 54	Short	Low	High	NJDOT
		Vine Street and Egg Harbor Road	Short	Low	High	Hammonton
	Textured Intersection	Cherry Street/Line Street/ Egg Harbor Road	Medium	Medium	Low	Hammonton
		Vine Street and Egg Harbor Road	Medium	Medium	Low	Hammonton
	Curb Extensions	Route 206/US 30/NJ 54	Medium	Medium	Medium	NJDOT
	Eliminate Slip Lane	Route 206/US 30/NJ 54	Long	High	Low	NJDOT
		Cherry Street/Line Street/ Egg Harbor Road	Short	Low	High	Hammonton
	High Visibility Crosswalk	Route 206/US 30/NJ 54	Short	Low	High	NJDOT
		Vine Street and Egg Harbor Road	Short	Low	High	Hammonton
	Leading Pedestrian Interval	Route 206/US 30/NJ 54	Short	Low	High	NJDOT
	Pedestrian Plaza & Road Closures	Central Avenue / Third Street / Vine Street	Long	High	Medium	Hammonton
	Pedestrian Scale Lighting	Project Areawide	Medium	Medium	High	Hammonton
	Pedestrian Signal Heads and Push Buttons	Route 206/US 30/NJ 54	Medium	Medium	High	NJDOT
	Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons	Cherry Street/Line Street/ Egg Harbor Road	Medium	Low	Medium	Hammonton
	(RRFB)	Vine Street and Egg Harbor Road	Medium	Low	Medium	Hammonton
	Roundabout	Central Avenue / Third Street / Vine Street	Long	High	Medium	Hammonton
				High	Medium	Hammonton/NJTRANSIT
	Bus Turnouts	Egg Harbor Road (CR 602)	Long			
n 1:	Streetscaping/Amenities	Project Areawide	Medium	Low	Low	Hammonton
Policy	Update Complete Streets Policy	Town wide	Short	Low	Low	Hammonton
Educational	Safe Routes to School	Town wide	Short	Low	Low	Hammonton/CCC
Encouragement	Awareness Campaign Creation of Complete Streets Working	Town wide	Short	Low	Low	Hammonton
	Group	Town wide	Short	Low	Low	Hammonton

HAMMONTON BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN MASTER PLAN

Amendments

August 2022

Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Considerations

Identification of Underserved Communities Through Data.

Hammonton has a vibrant and diverse socio-economic makeup and is home to a substantial immigrant community. The Hispanic and Latino population is notably higher in Hammonton at 23%, compared to 19% for Atlantic County and 20.4% for the State. According to the 2020 ACS 5-Year Demographic Profile Racial and Ethnic breakdowns within the Town, approximately 72% is White (10,701), 23% is Hispanic or Latino (3,163), 3% is Black or African American (443), and 1% is Asian (158).

Regarding economic equality, the poverty rate within the Town is 12.4%-- notably higher than the County's (12.1%) and the State's (10.9%). Atlantic County, where the Town of Hammonton is located, was devastated more than most counties by the COVID-19 pandemic. The county's economic health is strongly based on the tourism and gaming industries. As Federal and state mandates were created preventing these industries from operating during the pandemic, the unemployment rate in Atlantic County skyrocketed. According to the *U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics*, the county unemployment rate was over 34% in April and June, 2020 and peaked in May at over 36%. And, while some areas of the country have rebounded economically from the pandemic, as of March 2021, the county's unemployment rate was still an overwhelming 11.6% (*BLS*). The town is also the 85th most distressed of all of New Jersey's 565 municipalities, according to the *New Jersey Department of Community Affairs'* 2020 Municipal Revitalization Index.

Equitable & Inclusive Processes Utilized.

The Town of Hammonton recognizes that the strength of its community is with its diversity and the Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan process was focused on the most underserved and underrepresented in the community. It was this diversity that enabled the community to thrive as both an agricultural and artistic hub as well. To ensure that the community continues to grow, the local government, residents, organizations, and stakeholders have focused on ensuring that diversity, equity, and inclusion is at the forefront of all decision making. To that end, the community has organized several cross-sector organizations, including the Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Committee, Hammonton Heart & Soul Initiative. the Hammonton Health Coalition and Hammonton Connectors, to provide services and gage community needs. Many of these grass-root organizations have found that the number one priority for residents is the desire for better and more safe, walkable and bicycle-friendly, accessible public spaces. In addition, all stakeholders were included in the decision-making process for this Master Plan. The primary public-facing project website had a Spanish language conversion tool to easily navigate the site and provide feedback. Ongoing outreach to the Latin American members of the community is continuous for efforts as part of the charter of the Hammonton Connectors, a group of Spanish-speaking residents who helps build trust and mutual respect between residents, government, non-profits and businesses.

HAMMONTON BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN MASTER PLAN

As a Town with a vibrant downtown area, walkability and safe access to businesses, professional services, and Town events is a priority for residents' sense of belonging. Implementing the projects in the Town's Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan will help increase mobility for those who need it the most -- approximately 15.8% of the population who have a disability. Of the 2,189 disabled population, which includes all manner of disabilities measured by the US Census Bureau, 1,256 (9.4%) have ambulatory disabilities, 337 (2.4%) have vision disabilities, and 424 (3.1%). The total population figure does not include the seasonal population who come to the Town over a typical summer or fall weekend, which can see thousands of visitors for various festivals and celebrations. While the population of those with ambulatory, hearing, and vision disabilities is high, the reality is that many more individuals will benefit from the project outlined herein.

Key DEI Representatives and Partners Involved.

Cassie Iacovelli serves on the Bicycle & Pedestrian Master Plan Steering Committee. Mrs. Iacovelli has been promoting diversity, equity and inclusion for decades throughout New Jersey and was recently honored by the statewide charity, Allies in Caring, with the Inclusivity Pathfinder Award. The award was presented to her because of her efforts in Hammonton, including with the Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan process, to help create new ways for those who have been historically excluded and underrepresented to develop a sense of belonging by exploring untraversed approaches to create new social conditions necessary to support everyone attaining their highest level of well-being.

Denise Mazzeo is the Town of Hammonton's official representative on the Hammonton Heart & Soul initiative, the Hammonton Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Committee and the Hammonton Health Coalition. The Health Coalition fosters collaborations among members of the community, particularly those that are underserved and under-represented, public and private agencies, to help all residents improve their physical and psychological health by implementing creative programs that draw on local wisdom, respect residents' needs and aspirations, and take advantage of Hammonton's unique economic, cultural and natural landscapes.

Jim Donio is the Downtown Advocate, along with a connector to Hammonton Pride, New Jersey's Gathering Ground's Elevating Equity representative from Hammonton and has a 30 year history of advocating for the most underserved members and neighborhoods in the greater Hammonton area. His involvement in the Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan Steering Committee encouraged the use of innovative concepts to use data and networking to reach those residents whose voices are most often left out of processes such as this. Mr. Donio has strong relationships with Allies in Caring, that provides support and advocacy to people of Latin-American descent, economically and socially disadvantaged, along with deaf and hard of hearing in Hammonton and throughout the region. He also has a long history of support and connection with the Puerto Rican Civic Association, which is one of the longest-running organizations of its kind in the State of New Jersey.

		Pre-Design Estimate of F	Probable Construction Costs	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18 19	
taylor design		Hammonton USDOT (SS4	1A) Grant Estimate	13th St /Fairvi		2nd Road	Broadway	Central Avenue	Ohan Baad	Egg Harbor Road	Main Road	Davis Assassa	44h Otus st	Old Forks	Road to	Waland Otra of Manager	Se Mill Band Se	eagrove Li	Cherry St/ ine Street/	Vine Street/ Central Ave/	
group		•	Town of Hammonton, N. Town of Hammonton wednesday, September 7, 2022	Δνε ηι	ue (CR 688)	(CR 559)	(CR 680)	(CR 542)	Chew Road	(CR 602)	(CR 679)	Park Avenue	4th Street	Road	Excellence	Walnut Street Mos	S IVIIII ROAGI	Avenue E	gg Harbor Road	Egg Harbor Road Third St/ Vine Street	Total
			vvodilosady, ooptember 7, 2022	`																	Total
Item # SS4A Budge	lget Category	Description	Unit Price Unit	Quant.	Amount Quant. Amount	Quant. Amount	Quant. Amoun	nt Quant. Amount	Quant. Amoun	Quant. Amoun	Quant. Amount	t Quant. Amoun	t Quant. Amou	t Quant. Amou	nt Quant. Amount	Quant. Amount Quar	t. Amount Quant	. Amount Qu	ant. Amount	Quant. Amount Quant. Amoun	t Quant. Amour
1 9. Cons		Sharrows (Shared Bicycle/Motor Vehicle Lane) per lane Sharrows @ intersections and every 250 lf (31sf)	\$225.00 UT	60	\$13,500	200 \$45,000	50 \$11,250	0		150 \$33,750	64 \$14,400										524 \$117,900
2 9. Cons 3 9. Cons	nstruction	Regulatory signage (Bike may use full lane) @ intersection and every 250 lf (18"x24") Wayfinding/Bike Route Signage @ 1000 lf (18"x24")	\$150.00 UT \$150.00 UT	60 12	\$9,000 \$1,800	200 \$30,000 42 \$6,300	50 \$7,500 8 \$1,200	0		150 \$22,500 26 \$3,900	64 \$9,600 12 \$1,800										524 \$78,600 100 \$15,000
		Bicycle Lane - Single Lane unless noted																			
4 8. Demolition 5 8. Demolition	on and Removal	Remove and install new vehicular guide rail (when present) Misc Demo at driveways, repair aprons	\$40.00 LF \$2,000.00 UT					0 \$0	0 \$0				0 \$	0 \$	0 0 \$0	0 \$0					0 \$0
7 8. Demolition	on and Removal	Stripping Toposoil (4' wide) at narrow cartway areas Remove exisiting traffic strips/markings	\$1.20 LF \$1.50 LF					1,600 \$1,920 1,600 \$2,400	32,800 \$39,360 32,800 \$49,200				4,800 \$7,20	900 \$1,35	0 0 \$0 \$0 3,700 \$5,550	0 \$0 2,100 \$3,150					34,400 \$41,28 (45,900 \$68,85 (
8 9. Cons 9 9. Cons 10 9. Cons	nstruction	Modify inlets at new curb locations Install bicycle safe inlet grates Permove and replace concrete curbing (6x8 x18)	\$2,100.00 UT \$675.00 UT \$50.00 LF					10 \$6,750	30 \$63,000 80 \$54,000				12 \$8,10	4 \$2,70	0 10 \$6,750	8 \$5,400					124 \$83,700
11 9. Cons 12 9. Cons	nstruction	Remove and replace concrete curbing (6x8 x18) Sawcut or prep exsiting asphalt edge to receive new asphalt 4' wide asphalt paving at narrow cartway areas (6" DGA, 4" HMA base, 2" HMA surface)	\$2.00 LF \$24.00 LF					0 \$0	32,800 \$65,600 32,800 \$787,200				0 9	0 \$	0 0 \$0	0 \$0					32,800 \$65,600 32,800 \$787,200
13 9. Cons 14 9. Cons	nstruction	4" Traffic Marking (thermoplastic) Bikelane marking @ begin/end, intersections, and every 1000 ft	\$0.75 LF \$225.00 UT					1,600 \$1,200 10 \$2,250	32,800 \$24,600 90 \$20,250				4,800 \$3,60 9 \$2,02	40.	5 3,700 \$2,775 6 6 \$1,350	2,100 \$1,575 4 \$900					45,900 \$34,42 9
15 9. Cons 16 9. Cons	nstruction	Regulatory signage (Bike Lane) @ intersection begin/end, intersections, and every 1000 ft Wayfinding/Bike Route Signage @ 1000 lf	\$150.00 UT \$150.00 UT					10 \$1,500 2 \$300	90 \$13,500 70 \$10,500				9 \$1,35 5 \$75	3 \$45 1 \$15	6 \$900 0 4 \$600	4 \$600 2 \$300					122 \$18,30 0 84 \$12,60 0
		Shared Use Path - One Side of Road Only																			
18 7. Site	ite Work	Construction Sign Construction Layout	\$2,000.00 UT \$17,500.00 MILE		0 \$0 4 \$70,000 21.96 \$219,595			0 \$0 1.6 \$28,000 8.96 \$89,555		0 \$0 0.6 \$10,500 13.62 \$136,210						1.30	\$8,000 4 \$22,750 0.30 \$1 \$123,110 2.31	¥ = 1,= = =			8 \$16,000 8 \$136,500
20 7. Site	ite Work	Mobilization (10% construction cost) Traffic Control Site Field Office	\$10,000.00 UT \$10,000.00 MILE \$10,000.00 LS		21.96 \$219,595 4 \$40,000 0 \$0			8.96 \$89,555 1.6 \$16,000 0 \$0		13.62 \$136,210 0.6 \$6,000 0 \$0						1.30		·			8 \$78,000 2 \$20,000
22 2. Land, Structures	es, ROW, Appraisals	Land / Easement Acquistion (5' width) (\$100,000/AC) Tree removal / Site Clearing (45- 18" trees/mile)	\$12.00 LF \$75,000.00 MILE		21,100 \$253,200 0 \$0			6,700 \$80,400 0 \$0		3,000 \$36,000 0.0 \$0						6,80		\$16,800			39,000 \$468,00 0 2 \$120,00 0
25 8. Demolition	on and Removal	Demolition (concrete paving, curbing, etc. when present) Misc Demo at driveways, repair aprons	\$50,000.00 MILE \$2,000.00 UT		0 \$0			0 \$0		0 \$0						1.30 35	\$70,000 2	\$15,000 \$4,000			2 \$80,000 37 \$74,000
27 9. Cons	nstruction	Silt Fence Inlet Protection Stripping Toposoil (15' width)	\$6.00 LF \$200.00 UT \$3.50 LF		21,100 \$126,600 0 \$0 21,100 \$73,850			6,700 \$40,200 0 \$0 6,700 \$23,450		3,000 \$18,000 0 \$0						6,80 10 6,80	\$2,000 4	\$800			39,000 \$234,00 0 14 \$2,80 0
28 9. Cons 29 9. Cons 30 9. Cons	nstruction	Stripping Toposoil (15' width) Earthwork Utility Relocation (minor, guy wires etc.)	\$3.50 LF \$50,000.00 MILE \$25,000.00 MILE		4 \$200,000 4 \$100,000			1.6 \$80,000 1.6 \$40,000		0 \$0						1.30 1.30	\$65,000 0.30	\$15,000			7 \$360,000 7 \$180,000
31 9. Cons 32 9. Cons	nstruction	Remove and replace concrete curbing (6x8 x18), includes removal of concrete curb stops when present Asphalt Path - 10' width (6"DGA, 3" HMA surface)			0 \$0 21,100 \$1,055,000			0 \$0 6,700 \$335,000		3,000 \$150,000 3,000 \$150,000						3,00	Ψ02,000 0.00	\$0			6,000 \$300,000 39,000 \$1,950,000
33 9. Cons 34 9. Cons	nstruction	Curb Ramps 4" Concrete (1'x8') w detectable warning (2' x 10') Bollards at roadway intersection	\$1,750.00 UT \$2,500.00 UT		0 \$0 19 \$47,500			20 \$35,000 24 \$60,000		0 \$0 12 \$30,000						14	\$24,500 2 \$20,000 2	\$3,500 \$5,000			36 \$63,000 65 \$162,500
35 9. Cons 36 9. Cons	nstruction	4" Trail Marking (thermoplastic) 50' @ intersection approaches, curves, etc. Misc Traffic Markings at Intersection approach	\$100.00 UT \$1,000.00 UT		19 \$1,900 19 \$19,000			134 \$13,400 20 \$20,000		60 \$6,000 4 \$4,000							\$800 2 \$8,000 2	\$200 \$2,000			223 \$22,30 0 53 \$53,00 0
38 9. Cons	nstruction	Roadway Crosswalk Markings at intersections (thermoplastic) Regulatory Signage (16 per intersection, and misc locations) Wayfinding/Bike Route Signage @ 1000 lf	\$1,000.00 UT \$150.00 UT \$150.00 UT		19 \$19,000 400 \$60,000 22 \$3,300			20 \$20,000 150 \$22,500 8 \$1,200		4 \$4,000 4 \$600 4 \$600						140 7	40,000	\$2,000 \$6,000 \$300			734 \$110,100 43 \$6.450
40 9. Cons 41 9. Cons	nstruction	Topsoil and Seeding (3' each side) Misc repairs at driveways (mailboxes, etc.)	\$6.00 LF \$1,000.00 UT		21,100 \$126,600 0 \$0			13,400 \$80,400 0 \$0		6,000 \$36,000 0 \$0						6,80	\$40,800 1,400 \$35,000 2	\$8,400 \$2,000			48,700 \$292,200 37 \$37,000
42 9. Cons	nstruction	Landscaping (replacment trees, and residential landscape beds) Remove and install new vehicular guide rail (when present)	\$1,000.00 UT \$40.00 LF		0 \$0 0 \$0			0 \$0		0 \$0 260 \$10,400						50	\$50,000 10 \$0 0	\$10,000 \$0			60 \$60,000 260 \$10,400
44 9. Cons		Pedestrian Bridge - Includes bridge structure, hellical piles, and concrete abutment	\$3,000.00 LF		0 \$0			0 \$0		300 \$900,000						0	\$0 0	\$0			300 \$900,000
	nstruction	Install bicycle safe inlet grates Remove existing traffic string/markings	\$675.00 UT \$1.50 LF									10 \$6,750 2,600 \$3,900									10 \$6,750
47 9. Cons 48 9. Cons	nstruction	Remove exisiting traffic strips/markings 4" Traffic Marking (thermoplastic) Bike lane marking @ begin/end, intersections, and every 1000 ft	\$0.75 LF \$225.00 UT									2,600 \$1,950 16 \$3,600									2,600 \$1,95 0
49 9. Cons 50 9. Cons	nstruction	Regulatory signage (Bike Lane) @ intersection begin/end, intersections, and every 1000 ft Wayfinding/Bike Route Signage @ 1000 lf	\$150.00 UT \$150.00 UT									16 \$2,400 3 \$450									16 \$2,40 0 3 \$45 0
51		Sidewalk Installation - Both Sides of Road; 1 Side Only if shared use path is present (Replace all			40.000	40.000	4 2000	0 4 0000	4 00000	4 00000	4 00000										
52 7. Site	ite Work	Construction Sign Construction Layout Mobilization (10% construction cost)	\$2,000.00 UT \$17,500.00 MILE \$10,000.00 UT	5.50	\$8,000 4 \$8,000 \$96,250 4 \$70,000 \$348,248 35.32 \$353,208	8 \$140,000 39.86 \$398,615	4 \$8,000 1.50 \$26,250 9.99 \$99.913	0 4 \$8,000 0 3.20 \$56,000 3 19.75 \$197,543	4 \$8,000 6.20 \$108,500 33.05 \$330,460	4 \$8,000 8.0 \$140,000 52.83 \$528.325	4 \$8,000 2.50 \$43,750 13.39 \$133,935										32 \$64,000 39 \$680,750 239 \$2,390,240
54 7. Site	ite Work	Traffic Control Site Field Office	\$10,000.00 MILE \$10,000.00 LS	5.50	\$55,000 4 \$40,000 \$10,000 1 \$10,000	8 \$80,000 1 \$10,000	1.50 \$15,000 1 \$10,000	0 3.20 \$32,000 0 1 \$10,000	6.20 \$62,000 1 \$10,000	8.0 \$80,000 1 \$10,000	2.50 \$25,000 1 \$10,000										39 \$389,00 0
	on and Removal	Tree removal / Site Clearing (45- 18" trees/mile) Demolition (concrete paving, curbing, etc. when present)	\$75,000.00 MILE \$50,000.00 MILE		\$412,500 8 \$600,000 \$275,000 8 \$400,000	8 \$600,000 8 \$400,000	1.50 \$112,500 1.50 \$75,000	0 3.20 \$240,000 0 3.20 \$160,000	6.20 \$465,000 6.20 \$310,000	8.0 \$600,000 8.0 \$400,000											43 \$3,217,50 0 43 \$2,145,00 0
59 9. Cons	nstruction	Misc Demo at driveways, repair aprons Silt Fence	\$2,000.00 UT \$6.00 LF		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	100 \$200,000 42,200 \$253,200	60 \$120,000 7,500 \$45,000	0 150 \$300,000 0 9,900 \$59,400			11,600 \$69,600										1,395 \$2,790,000 193,140 \$1,158,840
60 9. Cons 61 9. Cons 62 9. Cons	nstruction	Inlet Protection Stripping Toposoil (5' width) Earthwork	\$200.00 UT \$1.25 LF \$25,000.00 MILE		\$10,000 40 \$8,000 \$36,300 21,100 \$26,375 \$137,500 4 \$100,000	50 \$10,000 42,200 \$52,750 8 \$200,000	20 \$4,000 7,500 \$9,375 1.50 \$37.500	0 20 \$4,000 5 9,900 \$12,375 0 3.20 \$80,000	40 \$8,000 32,800 \$41,000 6,20 \$155,000		11,600 \$14,500										340 \$68,000 193,140 \$241,429
63 9. Cons 64 9. Cons	nstruction	Utility Relocation (minor, guy wires etc.) Remove and replace concrete curbing (6x8 x18)	\$10,000.00 MILE \$50.00 LF	5.50	\$55,000 4 \$40,000 \$425,000 2,700 \$135,000	8 \$80,000 2,000 \$100,000	1.50 \$15,000 3,000 \$150,000	0 3.20 \$32,000 0 10,000 \$500,000	6.20 \$62,000 1,900 \$95,000	Ţ_00,000											39 \$389,000 52,300 \$2,615,000
65 9. Cons 66 9. Cons	nstruction	Concrete Paving - 4' width Curb Ramps- 4" Concrete (4"x8') w detectable warning (2' x 4')	\$34.00 LF \$875.00 UT	29,040 70	\$61,250 60 \$52,500	42,200 \$1,434,800 40 \$35,000	7,500 \$255,000 20 \$17,500	0 9,900 \$336,600 0 46 \$40,250	36 \$31,500	100 \$87,500	11,600 \$394,400 20 \$17,500										193,140 \$6,566,76 0 392 \$343,00 0
67 9. Cons 68 9. Cons		Remove and reinstall traffic signage Topsoil and Seeding (1' each side)	\$100.00 UT \$2.00 LF	110 29,040	\$11,000 60 \$6,000 \$58,080 21,100 \$42,200	100 \$10,000 42,200 \$84,400	40 \$4,000 7,500 \$15,000	0 100 \$10,000 0 9,900 \$19,800	70 \$7,000 32,800 \$65,600	42,000 \$84,000	11,600 \$23,200										700 \$70,000 196,140 \$392,280
				400	#400 000 000 #000 000	100 \$100 000		1 50 \$50,000	100 \$100.000	200 \$200,000	50 \$50,000										1,020 \$1,020,00 0
70 9. Cons	nstruction	Misc repairs at driveways (mailboxes, etc.) Landscaping (replacment trees, and residential landscape beds)	\$1,000.00 UT \$500.00 UT	100	\$160,000 300 \$300,000 \$50,000 300 \$150,000 \$0 0 \$0	200 \$100,000	40 \$20,000	0 50 \$25,000	80 \$40,000	250 \$125,000	50 \$25,000 60 \$2,400										4 110 \$164.40
70 9. Cons	nstruction nstruction	Misc repairs at driveways (mailboxes, etc.)	\$1,000.00 UT	100	\$50,000 300 \$150,000		40 \$20,000 0 \$0	0 50 \$25,000 0 0 \$0	7.00,000	250 \$125,000	50 \$25,000 60 \$2,400										4,110 \$164,40 0
70 9. Cons	nstruction nstruction	Misc repairs at driveways (mailboxes, etc.) Landscaping (replacment trees, and residential landscape beds) Remove and install new vehicular guide rail (when present)	\$1,000.00 UT \$500.00 UT \$40.00 LF	100	\$50,000 300 \$150,000	200 \$100,000	40 \$20,000 0 \$0 1 \$25,000	0 50 \$25,000 0 0 \$0	80 \$40,000	250 \$125,000 0 \$0	60 \$2,400		1 \$25,00	1 \$25,00	1 \$25,000	1 \$25,000 1	\$25,000 1	\$25,000	1 \$25,000	1 \$25,000 1 \$25,000	4,110 \$164,40 0
70 9. Cons 71 9. Cons	nstruction nstruction	Misc repairs at driveways (mailboxes, etc.) Landscaping (replacment trees, and residential landscape beds) Remove and install new vehicular guide rail (when present) Miscelaneous	\$1,000.00 UT \$500.00 UT \$40.00 LF	100	\$50,000 300 \$150,000 \$0 0 \$0	200 \$100,000 2,200 \$88,000	0 \$0	0 0 \$0	80 \$40,000 1,850 \$74,000	250 \$125,000 0 \$0	60 \$2,400		1 \$25,00	1 \$25,00	1 \$25,000	1 \$25,000 1	\$25,000 1	\$25,000	1 \$25,000	1 \$25,000 1 \$25,000	1,110 \$101,100
70 9. Cons 71 9. Cons	nstruction nstruction nstruction	Misc repairs at driveways (mailboxes, etc.) Landscaping (replacment trees, and residential landscape beds) Remove and install new vehicular guide rail (when present) Miscelaneous Bike Racks, Repair Stations, Wayfinding/Bike Route Signage, Streetscape elements- Common to All Second Common to All Sec	\$1,000.00 UT \$500.00 UT \$40.00 LF egments \$25,000.00 UT	100	\$50,000 300 \$150,000 \$0 0 \$0	200 \$100,000 2,200 \$88,000	0 \$0	0 0 \$0	80 \$40,000 1,850 \$74,000	250 \$125,000 0 \$0	60 \$2,400		1 \$25,00	1 \$25,00	1 \$25,000	1 \$25,000 1	\$25,000 1	\$25,000	1 \$25,000	1 \$25,000 1 \$25,000	1,110 \$101,100
70 9. Cons 71 9. Cons	nstruction nstruction nstruction	Misc repairs at driveways (mailboxes, etc.) Landscaping (replacment trees, and residential landscape beds) Remove and install new vehicular guide rail (when present) Miscelaneous Bike Racks, Repair Stations, Wayfinding/Bike Route Signage, Streetscape elements- Common to All Se Overall Checklist Items & Task List Sidewalk Installation "Sharrows"	\$1,000.00 UT \$500.00 UT \$40.00 LF	100	\$50,000 300 \$150,000 \$0 0 \$0	200 \$100,000 2,200 \$88,000	0 \$0	0 0 \$0	80 \$40,000 1,850 \$74,000	250 \$125,000 0 \$0	60 \$2,400		1 \$25,00	1 \$25,00	1 \$25,000	1 \$25,000 1	\$25,000 1	\$25,000	1 \$25,000	1 \$25,000 1 \$25,000	1,110 \$101,100
70 9. Cons 71 9. Cons	nstruction nstruction nstruction	Misc repairs at driveways (mailboxes, etc.) Landscaping (replacment trees, and residential landscape beds) Remove and install new vehicular guide rail (when present) Miscelaneous Bike Racks, Repair Stations, Wayfinding/Bike Route Signage, Streetscape elements- Common to All Se Overall Checklist Items & Task List Sidewalk Installation	\$1,000.00 UT \$500.00 UT \$40.00 LF egments \$25,000.00 UT	100	\$50,000 300 \$150,000 \$0 0 \$0	200 \$100,000 2,200 \$88,000	0 \$0	0 0 \$0	80 \$40,000 1,850 \$74,000	250 \$125,000 0 \$0	60 \$2,400		1 \$25,00	1 \$25,00	1 \$25,000	1 \$25,000 1	\$25,000 1	\$25,000	1 \$25,000	1 \$25,000 1 \$25,000	1,110 \$101,100
70 9. Cons 71 9. Cons	nstruction nstruction nstruction	Misc repairs at driveways (mailboxes, etc.) Landscaping (replacment trees, and residential landscape beds) Remove and install new vehicular guide rail (when present) Miscelaneous Bike Racks, Repair Stations, Wayfinding/Bike Route Signage, Streetscape elements- Common to All Se Overall Checklist Items & Task List Sidewalk Installation "Sharrows" Advisory Bike Lanes Bike Lanes Buffered Bike Lanes—EXCLUDED Shared-Use Path Bicycle Parking	\$1,000.00 UT \$500.00 UT \$40.00 LF egments \$25,000.00 UT	100	\$50,000 300 \$150,000 \$0 0 \$0	200 \$100,000 2,200 \$88,000	0 \$0	0 0 \$0	80 \$40,000 1,850 \$74,000	250 \$125,000 0 \$0	60 \$2,400		1 \$25,00	1 \$25,00	1 \$25,000	1 \$25,000 1	\$25,000 1	\$25,000	1 \$25,000	1 \$25,000 1 \$25,000	1,110 \$101,100
70 9. Cons 71 9. Cons	nstruction nstruction nstruction	Misc repairs at driveways (mailboxes, etc.) Landscaping (replacment trees, and residential landscape beds) Remove and install new vehicular guide rail (when present) Miscelaneous Bike Racks, Repair Stations, Wayfinding/Bike Route Signage, Streetscape elements- Common to All Selections (Selections) Overall Checklist Items & Task List Sidewalk Installation "Sharrows" Advisory Bike Lanes Bike Lanes Buffered Bike Lanes – EXCLUDED Shared-Use Path	\$1,000.00 UT \$500.00 UT \$40.00 LF egments \$25,000.00 UT	100	\$50,000 300 \$150,000 \$0 0 \$0	200 \$100,000 2,200 \$88,000	0 \$0	0 0 \$0	80 \$40,000 1,850 \$74,000	250 \$125,000 0 \$0	60 \$2,400		1 \$25,00	1 \$25,00	1 \$25,000	1 \$25,000 1	\$25,000 1	\$25,000	1 \$25,000	1 \$25,000 1 \$25,000	1,110 \$101,100
70 9. Cons 71 9. Cons 71 9. Cons 72 9. Cons TDG TDG TDG TDG TDG TDG TDG TDG TDG TD	nstruction	Misc repairs at driveways (mailboxes, etc.) Landscaping (replacment trees, and residential landscape beds) Remove and install new vehicular guide rail (when present) Miscelaneous Bike Racks, Repair Stations, Wayfinding/Bike Route Signage, Streetscape elements- Common to All Se Overall Checklist Items & Task List Sidewalk Installation "Sharrows" Advisory Bike Lanes Bike Lanes Butfered Bike Lanes—EXCLUDED Shared-Use Path Bicycle Parking Wayfinding/Bike Route Signage Streetscaping/Amenities	\$1,000.00 UT \$500.00 UT \$40.00 LF egments \$25,000.00 UT	100	\$50,000 300 \$150,000 \$0 0 \$0	200 \$100,000 2,200 \$88,000	0 \$0	0 0 \$0	80 \$40,000 1,850 \$74,000	250 \$125,000 0 \$0	60 \$2,400		1 \$25,00	1 \$25,00	1 \$25,000	1 \$25,000 1	\$25,000 1	\$25,000	1 \$25,000		18 \$450,000
70 9. Cons 71 9. Cons 71 9. Cons 72 9. Cons TDG TDG TDG TDG TDG TDG TDG TDG TDG TD	nstruction nstruction nstruction	Misc repairs at driveways (mailboxes, etc.) Landscaping (replacment trees, and residential landscape beds) Remove and install new vehicular guide rail (when present) Miscelaneous Bike Racks, Repair Stations, Wayfinding/Bike Route Signage, Streetscape elements- Common to All Se Overall Checklist Items & Task List Sidewalk Installation "Sharrows" Advisory Bike Lanes Bike Lanes Buffered Bike Lanes—EXCLUDED Shared-Use Path Bicycle Parking Wayfinding/Bike Route Signage Streetscaping/Amenities ADA Compliant-Gurb-Ramps—EXCLUDED Textured Intersection Gurb-Patenslops—EXCLUDED	\$1,000.00 UT \$500.00 UT \$40.00 LF egments \$25,000.00 UT	100	\$50,000 300 \$150,000 \$0 0 \$0	200 \$100,000 2,200 \$88,000	0 \$0	0 0 \$0	80 \$40,000 1,850 \$74,000	250 \$125,000 0 \$0	60 \$2,400		1 \$25,00	1 \$25,00	1 \$25,000	1 \$25,000 1	\$25,000 1	\$25,000	1 \$25,000		1,110 \$101,100
70 9. Cons 71 9. Cons 71 9. Cons 72 9. Cons TDG TDG TDG TDG TDG TDG TDG TDG TDG TD	nstruction	Misc repairs at driveways (mailboxes, etc.) Landscaping (replacment trees, and residential landscape beds) Remove and install new vehicular guide rail (when present) Miscelaneous Bike Racks, Repair Stations, Wayfinding/Bike Route Signage, Streetscape elements- Common to All Se Overall Checklist Items & Task List Sidewalk Installation "Sharrows" Advisory Bike Lanes Bike Lanes Buffered Bike Lanes – EXCLUDED Shared-Use Path Bicycle Parking Wayfinding/Bike Route Signage Streetscaping/Amenities ADA-Comptiant-Curb-Ramps—EXCLUDED Textured Intersection	\$1,000.00 UT \$500.00 UT \$40.00 LF egments \$25,000.00 UT	100	\$50,000 300 \$150,000 \$0 0 \$0	200 \$100,000 2,200 \$88,000	0 \$0	0 0 \$0	80 \$40,000 1,850 \$74,000	250 \$125,000 0 \$0	60 \$2,400		1 \$25,00	1 \$25,00	1 \$25,000	1 \$25,000 1	\$25,000 1	\$25,000	1 \$25,000		18 \$450,000
70 9. Cons 71 9. Cons 71 9. Cons 72 9. Cons TDG TDG TDG TDG TDG TDG TDG TDG TDG TD	nstruction nstruction nstruction nstruction	Misc repairs at driveways (mailboxes, etc.) Landscaping (replacment trees, and residential landscape beds) Remove and install new vehicular guide rail (when present) Miscelaneous Bike Racks, Repair Stations, Wayfinding/Bike Route Signage, Streetscape elements- Common to All Selections of Stations, Wayfinding/Bike Route Signage, Streetscape elements- Common to All Selections of Stations of Statio	\$1,000.00 UT \$500.00 UT \$40.00 LF egments \$25,000.00 UT	100	\$50,000 300 \$150,000 \$0 0 \$0	200 \$100,000 2,200 \$88,000	0 \$0	0 0 \$0	80 \$40,000 1,850 \$74,000	250 \$125,000 0 \$0	60 \$2,400		1 \$25,00				\$25,000 1	\$25,000	1 \$25,000 1 \$25,000 1 \$60,000 1 \$60,000		18 \$450,000
70 9. Cons 71 9. Cons 71 9. Cons 72 9. Cons TDG TDG TDG TDG TDG TDG TDG TDG TDG ARH	nstruction nstruction nstruction nstruction	Misc repairs at driveways (mailboxes, etc.) Landscaping (replacment trees, and residential landscape beds) Remove and install new vehicular guide rail (when present) Miscelaneous Bike Racks, Repair Stations, Wayfinding/Bike Route Signage, Streetscape elements- Common to All Se Overall Checklist Items & Task List Sidewalk Installation "Sharrows" Advisory Bike Lanes Bike Lanes Buffered Bike Lanes – EXCLUDED Shared-Use Patking Wayfinding/Bike Route Signage Streetscaping/Amenities ADA-Compilant-Curb-Rampe – EXCLUDED Textured Intersection Curb-Sktensions – EXCLUDED Eliminate Slip Lane – EXCLUDED Leading Pedestrian Interval – EXCLUDED Pedestrian Plaza & Road Closures	\$1,000.00 UT \$500.00 UT \$40.00 LF UT \$25,000.00 UT \$60,000.00 UT \$60,000.00 UT	100	\$50,000 300 \$150,000 \$0 0 \$0	200 \$100,000 2,200 \$88,000	0 \$0	0 0 \$0	80 \$40,000 1,850 \$74,000	250 \$125,000 0 \$0	60 \$2,400		1 \$25,00		1 \$25,000		\$25,000 1	\$25,000	1 \$25,000 1 \$25,000 1 \$60,000 1 \$60,000		18 \$450,000 2 \$120,000

taylor design group Ha	ammonton USDOT (SS4A) Grant Estimate Hammonton, NJ Town of Hammonton Wednesday, September 7, 2022	A., a. b. i. a.	1st Road (CR 688)	2nd Road (CR 559)	Broadway (CR 680)	Central Avenue (CR 542)	Chew Road	Egg Harbor Road (CR 602)	Main Road (CR 679)	Park Avenue	4th Street	Old Forks Road	Road to Excellence	Walnut Street	Moss Mill Road	Seagrove Avenue	Cherry St/ Line Street/ Egg Harbor Road		Central Ave/ Third St/ Vine Street	Total
Item # SS4A Budget Category Description	Unit Price Unit	Quant. Amount	Quant. Amount	Quant. Amount	Quant. Amoun	t Quant. Amoun	it Quant. Amount	Quant. Amoun	t Quant. Amount	Quant. Amount	Quant. Amount	Quant. Amount	Quant. Amount	Quant. Amount	Quant. Amount	Quant. Amount	Quant. Amount	Quant. Amount	Quant. Amount	Quant. Amount
NJDOT/STATE ROAD - EXCLUDED TDG - SCOPE/ESTIMATION ARH - SCOPE/ESTIMATION TOWN OF HAMMONTON SCOPE N/A - NOT APPLICABLE This estimate does not include design, legal, and contract administration fees.		1 13th Street/ Fairview Avenue (CR 678)	2 1st Road (CR 688)	3 2nd Road (CR 559)	Broadway (CR 680)	Central Avenue (CR 542)	6 Chew Road	7 Egg Harbor Road (CR 602)	Main Road (CR 679)	10 Park Avenue	11 4th Street	12 Old Forks Road	Road to Excellence	14 Walnut Street	15 Moss Mill Road	16 Seagrove Avenue	17 Cherry St/ Line Street/ Egg Harbor Road	18 Vine Street/ Egg Harbor Road	19 Central Ave/ Third St/ Vine Street	Total
TOTAL		\$3,880,028	\$6,325,828	\$4,491,065	\$1,143,988	\$3,199,393	\$4,787,270	\$7,395,035	\$1,524,08 5	\$44,050	\$48,025	\$31,000	\$42,925	\$36,925	\$1,379,210	\$278,605	\$85,000	\$85,000	\$1 381 000	\$36,158,431
TOTAL	Section Length (Miles)	5.5 Miles	4.0 Miles	4.0 Miles	0.71 miles	1.57 Miles	6.21 Miles	3.90 Miles	1.10 Miles	0.49 Miles	0.91 Miles	0.17 Miles	0.70 Miles	0.40 Miles	1.29 Miles	0.27 Miles	Ψ55,555	Ψ00,000	Ψ1,001,000	φου, 100, 4 01
	Section Length (LF)	29,040 LF	21,100 LF	21,100 LF	3,750 LF	8,300 LF	32,800 LF	20,600 LF	5,800 LF	2,600 LF	4,800 LF	900 LF	3,700 LF	2,100 LF	6,800 LF	1,400 LF				
	Per 250 LF (for sharrows calculation)	24/total LF	-	84/total LF	15/Total LF	-	132/total LF	50/Total LF	24/Total LF	-	19/Total LF	4/Total LF	15/Total LF	9 Total LF	27 Total LF	6 Total LF				
	Total Intersections	3 Intersections	19 intersections	12 Intersections	8 Intersections	15 Intersections	10 intersections	22 Intersections	8 Intersections	5 intersections	4 intersections	2 intersections	2 intersections	2 intersections	9 intersections	2 intersections				
	Sharrows	Two-Way	-	Two-Way	Two-Way	-	-	Two-Way	Two-Way	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
	Bike Lanes	-	-	-	-	One-Way	Two-Way	-	-	-	Single lane: road of excellence to walnut 2-Way: road of excellence to fairview	One-Way	One-Way	One-Way	-	-				
	Shared Use Path	-	One Side	-	-	One Side	-	One Side	-	-	-	-	-	-	One Side	One Side				
	Advisory Bike Lane	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Single Lane	-	-	-	-	-	-				
	Sidewalks	Both Sides	One Side	Both Sides	Both Sides	One Side	Both Sides	One Side	Both Sides	Single Lane	-	-	-	-	One Side	One Side				
	Assumptions for Cost Estimate Purposes						Two-Way Bike Lanes at 30' wide uncurbed road and 32-34' wide curbed road				One-Way Bike Lane with no road widening and no removal of existing curb from Road of Excellence to Walnut. Two-Way Bike Lanes from Road of Excellence to Fairview with no road widening and no removal of existing curb		One-Way Bike Lane with no road widening and no removal of existing curb	with no road widening						
	Additional Comments		duplicate numbers done on concrete sidewalk section			*Removed 6,700 LF portion of sidewalk that will be duplicate due to shared use path **does not include recongifured intersection or central ave "plaza" in calculations ***info removed from bike lanes: - guide rail, demo, driveways, modify inlets, curbing, tree removal, construction signs	concrete sidewark section.													


Classification Number	Cost Classification	Total Cost
2	Land, structures, rights-of-way, appraisals, etc.	\$468,000
7	Site Work	\$4,446,021
8	Demolition and removal	\$8,540,530
9	Construction	\$22,918,880
10	Equipment	\$0
11	Miscelaneous	\$0
	SUB-TOTAL	\$36,373,431

TOWN OF HAMMONTON USDOT GRANT APPLICATION 09/2022 PROJECT SCHEDULE

Task Name	Start	Finish	2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 20 H1 H2 H1 H2 H1 H2 H1 H2 H1 H2 H1 H2 H
Hammonton USDOT (SS4A) Grant	Thu 9/15/22	Mon 12/13/27	
Application Submission	Thu 9/15/22	Thu 9/15/22	
Implementation Grant Review and Selection Process	Fri 9/16/22	Tue 1/31/23	_
Grant Award	Tue 1/31/23	Tue 1/31/23	
13th Street/Fairview Avenue (CR 678)	Fri 3/3/23	Mon 3/9/26	
1st Road (CR 688)	Fri 3/3/23	Mon 1/12/26	
2nd Road (CR 559)	Fri 9/8/23	Thu 7/9/26	
Broadway (CR 680)	Fri 9/8/23	Thu 12/11/25	<u> </u>
Central Avenue (CR542)	Mon 10/3/22	Wed 7/23/25	
Chew Road	Tue 5/30/23	Wed 3/18/26	I
Egg Harbor Road (CR 602)	Fri 11/11/22	Mon 5/11/26	
Main Road (CR 679)	Fri 11/11/22	Mon 8/4/25	
Park Avenue	Fri 3/3/23	Mon 11/18/24	
4th Street	Mon 4/10/23	Tue 12/3/24	
Old Forks Road	Mon 3/4/24	Tue 10/7/25	
Road to Excellence	Mon 3/4/24	Tue 10/7/25	
Walnut Street	Mon 3/3/25	Tue 10/27/26	<u> </u>
Moss Mill Road	Mon 3/3/25	Tue 7/20/27	
Seagrove Avenue	Mon 3/3/25	Tue 3/2/27	
Cherry Street/Line Street/Egg Harbor Road	Mon 3/3/25	Mon 11/16/26	
Vine Street/Egg Harbor Road	Mon 4/7/25	Tue 2/9/27	
Central Avenue/Third Street/Vine Street	Mon 4/7/25	Mon 12/13/27	



09-09-2022 ARH #P2022.0409



Pedestrian & Bicyclist involved crashes 2010-2022

Created on September 14, 2022 Created by Kevin Friel

Data extents: January 1, 2010 to July 14, 2022



Applied Filters





NJ DHTS Crash Summary		Crashes
Total Crashes	64	100.00%
Injury Crashes	56	87.50%
Pedestrian Involved	44	68.75%
Bicyclist Involved	20	31.25%
Distracted Driving Involved	15	23.44%
Older Driver (65+) Involved	15	23.44%
Alcohol Involved	8	12.50%
Young Driver (16-20) Involved	4	6.25%
Fatal Crashes	4	6.25%
Unsafe Speed Involved	1	1.56%
Drugged Driver Involved Motorcycle Involved Unrestrained Occupant Involved	0	0.00%
Crash Severity		Crashes
Possible Injury	25	39.06%
Suspected Minor Injury	24	37.50%
Suspected Serious Injury	7	10.94%

Fatal Injury	4	6.25%
No Apparent Injury	4	6.25%
Date & Time (Year)		Crashe
2021	5	7.81%
2020	3	4.69%
2019	6	9.38%
2018	5	7.819
2017	8	12.50%
2016	2	3.139
2015	8	12.509
2014	8	12.509
2013	5	7.819
2012	5	7.819
2011	7	10.949
2010	2	3.139
2022 2009 2008 2007 2006	0	0.00
Date & Time (Month of Year)		Crashe
January	3	4.699
February	3	4.69
March	5	7.81
April	6	9.38
May	9	14.06
June	1	1.56
July	8	12.50
August	6	9.38
September	8	12.50
October	7	10.94
November	2	3.13
December	6	9.38
Date & Time (Day of Week)		Crashe
	9	14.06
Tuesday	6	
Monday Tuesday Wednesday Thursday	6 14 13	9.389 21.889 20.319

Friday	7	10.94%
Saturday	9	14.06%
Sunday	6	9.38%
Date & Time (Hour of Day)		Crashes
12 am - 2 am	1	1.56%
4 am - 6 am	2	3.13%
6 am - 8 am		
	2	3.13%
8 am - 10 am	3	4.69%
10 am - 12 pm	5	7.81%
12 pm - 2 pm	8	12.50%
2 pm - 4 pm	14	21.88%
4 pm - 6 pm	11	17.19%
6 pm - 8 pm	5	7.81%
8 pm - 10 pm	11	17.19%
10 pm - 12 am	2	3.13%
2 am - 4 am	0	0.00%
Crash Characterics		Crashes
Pedestrian Involved	44	68.75%
Bicyclist Involved	20	31.25%
Older Driver Involved	15	23.44%
Young Driver Involved	4	6.25%
Curve Related	2	3.13%
Run Off Road	1	1.56%
+ 5 more	0	0%
Driver Contributing Factors		Crashes
Distracted Driving	15	23.44%
Alcohol Related	8	12.50%
Cell Phone In Use	1	1.56%
Unsafe Speed	1	1.56%
+ 2 more	0	0%
Crash Type		Crashes
Pedestrian	44	68.75%
Pedalcyclist	20	31.25%
+ 17 more	0	0%

Hammonton Police Department Town Crash Data Detail

Crash ID	1040404	19 1465809	91 1465813	1 360722	5 390291	3 418660	03 818301	.5 822751	9 944275	9695596
Date & Time	9/9/2020 12:5									
SRI	54								1 100067	
Mile Post	0.1	16 0.6	53 0.24	4	0.16	5 0.5	56 0.9	9 22.1	9 1.5.	0.16
Crash Severity	Suspected Minor Injury	No Apparent Injury	Suspected Serious Injury	Suspected Minor Injury	Suspected Minor Injury	Suspected Minor Injury	Suspected Minor Injury	Possible Injury	Possible Injury	Suspected Minor Injury
Cities / Municipalities	Hammonton Town	Hammonton Town	Hammonton Town	Hammonton Town	Hammonton Town	Hammonton Town	Hammonton Town	Hammonton Town	Hammonton Town	Hammonton Town
County	Atlantic	Atlantic	Atlantic	Atlantic	Atlantic	Atlantic	Atlantic	Atlantic	Atlantic	Atlantic
Crash Type	Pedalcyclist	Pedalcyclist	Pedalcyclist	Pedalcyclist	Pedalcyclist	Pedalcyclist	Pedestrian	Pedestrian	Pedestrian	Pedestrian
Environmental Condition	Rain	Clear	Clear	Clear	Clear	Rain	Fog / Smog / Smoke	Clear	Clear	Clear
Light Condition	Daylight	Daylight	Daylight	Daylight	Daylight	Daylight	Dark - Street Lights On (Continuous)	Dark - Street Lights On (Continuous)	Dark - Street Lights Not Present	Dark - Street Lights On (Continuous)
Crash Location	Central Ave/County ROUTE 542	1st Road/ ATLANTIC COUNTY 680	Central Ave/County ROUTE 542	13th Street /Fairview Avenue / ATLANTIC COUNTY 678	Central Ave/County ROUTE 542	1st Road/ ATLANTIC COUNTY 680	Central Ave/County ROUTE 542	ROUTE 561	13th Street /Fairview Avenue / ATLANTIC COUNTY 678	Central Ave/County ROUTE 542
Location Direction		South	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown		
DHTS Region	South	South	South	South	South	South	South	South	South	South
Dot Web ID		17 201601131-2016-13697	20160113I-2016-16086	20110113I-2011-07475	20120113I-2012-12556	20130113I-2013-11040	20140113I-2014-07414	20140113I-2014-19687	20180113I-2018-04067	20180113 201818040
Functional Class	Urban Minor Arterial	Urban Collector	Urban Minor Arterial	Urban Minor Arterial	Urban Minor Arterial	Urban Collector	Urban Minor Arterial	Urban Minor Arterial	Urban Collector	Urban Minor Arterial
MPO	SJTPO	SJTPO	SJTPO	SJTPO	SJTPO	SJTPO	SJTPO	SJTPO	SJTPO	SJTPO
Jurisdiction	County	County	County	County	County	County	County	County	County	County
Police Department	HAMMONTON PD	HAMMONTON PD	HAMMONTON PD	HAMMONTON PD	HAMMONTON PD	HAMMONTON PD	HAMMONTON PD	HAMMONTON PD	HAMMONTON PD	HAMMONTON PD
Total Pedestrians Killed		0	0	0	0	•	•	0		0
Road Surface Type	Blacktop	Blacktop	Blacktop	Blacktop	Blacktop	Blacktop	Blacktop	Blacktop	Blacktop	Blacktop
Road System	County	County	County	County	County	County	County	County	County	County
Total Killed Total Injured		1		1	1	,	1	1		
Total Injured Total Drivers Involved		1		0	1	L	1	1	1	1
Total Bicyclists Killed		0	0	0		1	0	1	1	1
Total Vehicles Involved		1	1	1	1	I	1	1	1	1
Total Suspected Serious Injuries		0	0	1		1	0	0	2	
Total Occupants Involved		1	0	0	1	, 	1	1		
Total Bicyclists Involved		1	1	1	1		2	0		
Total Bicyclists Injured		1	0	0	1		1	0		0
Total Pedestrians Injured		0	0	0	0)	0	1	1	1
Total Pedestrian And/Or Bicyclist Involved	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
Total Pedestrians Involved		0	0	0	0)	0	1	1	1
Curve Related	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Alcohol Involved	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No
Bicyclist Involved	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Distracted Driving Involved	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Drowsy Driver Involved	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Drugged Driver Involved	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Hazmat Involved	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Head On Collision Involved	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Live Animal Involved	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Motorcycle Involved	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Older Driver (65+) Involved	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Driver Contributing Factors				Alcohol Related		Distracted Driving	Distracted Driving	Distracted Driving	Alcohol Related	
Pedestrian Involved	No	No 	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Run Off Road Involved	NO	No	No	NO No	NO No	No	No	No	NO No	NO
Unrestrained Occupant Involved	NO	No	No	NO No	NO No	No	No	No No	NO No	NO No
Unsafe Speed Involved	No.	No No	No No	NO No	NO.	No No	No Yes	No No	NO No	NO No
Young Driver (16-20) Involved	INO	INU	INO	NO	INU	INU	res	NU	INU	NU

TOWN OF HAMMONTON ATLANTIC COUNTY RESOLUTION #120-2022

RESOLUTION TO ADOPT THE USDOT "TOWARD ZERO DEATHS" INITIATIVE OF ZERO ROADWAY FATALITIES AND SERIOUS INJURIES AND TO ADOPT THE REVISED BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN SAFETY MASTER PLAN

WHEREAS, the Town of Hammonton intends to adopt an eventual goal of zero roadway fatalities and serious injuries, known as "Vision Zero" or "Toward Zero Deaths," for the Town; and

WHEREAS, the Town's Bicycle and Pedestrian Safety Master Plan has been revised to incorporate the Safe Streets and Roads for All Grant Program requirements and components and support the goal of zero roadway fatalities and serious injuries.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Town of Hammonton hereby adopts the "Toward Zero Deaths" initiative and commits to develop the tools to help strengthen the community's approach to roadway safety and save lives; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Town of Hammonton does adopt and commit to the eventual goal of zero roadway fatalities and serious injuries in the town; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Town of Hammonton hereby adopts the Bicycle and Pedestrian Safety Master Plan, including the revisions made in 2022.

Adopted: August 29, 2022

Mayor, Stephen DiDonato

Municipal Clerk, Frank Zuber



